

RESEARCH REPORT

Is Perfect Good? A Meta-Analysis of Perfectionism in the Workplace

Dana Harari
Georgia Institute of Technology

Brian W. Swider
University of Florida

Laurens Bujold Steed
Miami University

Amy P. Breidenthal
Georgia Institute of Technology

Although the concept of perfectionism is familiar to most people, its relationships with organizationally relevant variables remain unclear because of the dispersed and multidisciplinary nature of extant research. The state of the literature is particularly concerning given the likely widespread influence perfectionism has on individuals' workplace attitudes and behaviors. Moreover, research in multiple disciplines of psychology has revealed the phenomenon of perfectionism to be multidimensional. In addition, the totality of effects surrounding perfectionism remains unclear as perfectionism carries both benefits as well as consequences for employees and organizations. To cogently synthesize and empirically disentangle the possible differential effects associated with perfectionism at work, the authors conducted a meta-analysis of perfectionism and work-related antecedents and outcomes. The resulting qualitative and quantitative review reveals perfectionism to have sizable and consistent relationships with several organizationally relevant factors but an equivocal overall relationship with job performance. The authors provide a theoretical and empirical overview of the state of the literature and suggest avenues for future research that may facilitate better integration of perfectionism into organizational research.

Keywords: perfectionism, meta-analysis, employee outcomes

Supplemental materials: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000324.supp>

Perfectionism, characterized as striving for flawlessness, setting excessively high standards for performance, and evaluating one's own behavior overly critically (Flett & Hewitt, 2002; Frost, Marten, Lahart, & Rosenblate, 1990), is not a new concept to researchers. Scholars in a variety of psychology disciplines have explored the role of perfectionism across a host of job-related constructs, yet the full effects of perfectionism at work remain largely unclear (Ozibilir, Day, & Catano, 2015). On one hand, individuals higher in perfectionism are expected to be more exacting when completing tasks (Flett & Hewitt, 2002; Frost et al., 1990). On the other hand,

tendencies to persistently refine and criticize one's own work likely produce substantial demands and pressures, negatively impacting one's well-being (Flaxman, Ménard, Bond, & Kinman, 2012). This duality is encapsulated succinctly in the selection interview when candidates are asked about their biggest weakness and most commonly respond, "I am a perfectionist" (Taube, 2015). Candidates strategically provide this answer over other, more authentic responses because of the commonly held assumption that the positive aspects of perfectionism outweigh, or at least balance out, the negative impacts at work (Bariso, 2015). Thus, conceptual and empirical consensus is needed to delineate the possible paradoxical impact of perfectionism at work.

In this study, we first critically review the current conceptualization of perfectionism and its dimensionality. Second, we meta-analyze prior empirical studies of perfectionism and organizationally relevant factors. In doing so, we aggregate and contextualize extant research and identify what is known and what remains unknown about perfectionism in the workplace. Finally, we elucidate the differential and divergent effects of perfectionism and its dimensions to show the possible beneficial and harmful influences at work. By highlighting both dimensions of perfectionism throughout, we demonstrate their theoretical and empirical importance for understanding the overarching role of employee perfectionism on work-related variables. Overall, by synthesizing extant

This article was published Online First June 21, 2018.

Dana Harari, Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology; Brian W. Swider, Warrington College of Business, University of Florida; Laurens Bujold Steed, Farmer School of Business, Miami University; Amy P. Breidenthal, Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology.

We thank Ryan Zimmerman for his comments on an early draft of this article. A previous version of this article was presented at the Scheller College of Business Faculty Seminar.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dana Harari, Scheller College of Business, Georgia Institute of Technology, 800 West Peachtree Street Northwest, Atlanta, GA 30308. E-mail: dana.harari@scheller.gatech.edu

research on perfectionism, a colloquially known yet somewhat overlooked variable in organizational psychology, we set the stage for future research on employee perfectionism at the workplace.

Conceptualizing Perfectionism

Perfectionism is most markedly defined by a desire for absolute flawlessness (Frost et al., 1990). Individuals high on perfectionism tend to be inflexible and rigid about their desired level of performance and hold a commensurate binary, all-or-nothing judgment of their performance (Egan, Piek, Dyck, & Rees, 2007; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). Falling short of these standards, regardless of how close, is seen as a total failure (Hewitt, Flett, & Mikail, 2017). Not only are these performance standards high and judged overly harshly, but they are pursued compulsively, even if the situation does not require perfection (Flett & Hewitt, 2006; Sherry, Hewitt, Sherry, Flett, & Graham, 2010). Critically, even when standards are met, individuals high in perfectionism are unlikely to experience satisfaction but rather relief and/or the compulsion to set even higher standards next time (Mor, Day, Flett, & Hewitt, 1995; Shafran, Cooper, & Fairburn, 2002). These perfectionistic tendencies are often driven by perceived threats to ones' self-worth, from either themselves or others, if standards are not met (Flett & Hewitt, 2002). As such, perfectionism has generally been conceptualized as a motivational trait (Hewitt et al., 2017) and, similar to other traits, it can be more or less salient depending on the situation (Beauregard, 2006; Dunkley, Zuroff, & Blankstein, 2003).

The workplace is a context where perfectionism is often reported to be the most salient (Slaney & Ashby, 1996; Stoeber & Stoeber, 2009), as it is a domain where task-specific performance episodes, performance assessments, and achievement-related indicators are ubiquitous (Dunn, Whelton, & Sharpe, 2006; Mitchelson & Burns, 1998). Yet the lack of clarity regarding the role of perfectionism at work partially stems from the construct itself, as the dimensionality and measurement of perfectionism, summarized in Table 1, have varied over time and between researchers (Ayearst, Flett, & Hewitt, 2012; Stoeber, 2017). Although early conceptualizations positioned perfectionism as a unidimensional construct (Burns, 1980), more recent and prominent measures of perfectionism, assessing various facets underlying the construct, routinely result in two higher-order dimensions (Enns, Cox, & Clara, 2002; Terry-Short, Owens, Slade, & Dewey, 1995). Researchers in clinical psychology frequently label these dimensions as "adaptive" and "maladaptive" perfectionism (Slaney, Rice, Mobley, Trippi, & Ashby, 2001), emphasizing their psychopathological implications (Enns & Cox, 2002). Existing literature supports the validity of these dimensions, but also warrants new labels that reflect the theoretical foundations of the dimensions rather than their correlates, a critical step toward integrating perfectionism into organizational research (Doty & Glick, 1994).

Facets of perfectionism that are traditionally captured under "adaptive" perfectionism (personal/high standards, organization, order, self-oriented, and other-oriented) are conceptually related in that they center around tendencies to compulsively focus on, and demand to achieve, excessively high standards (Dunkley, Blankstein, & Berg, 2012). As such, we use the term *excellence-seeking* when describing this dimension of perfectionism. Individuals that are high on excellence-seeking perfectionism impose on themselves standards of flawlessness and are unwilling to reduce these

self-imposed high standards even when doing a "good enough" job would suffice (Shafran et al., 2002; Sherry et al., 2010). If their standards are not met, then these individuals will reduce their own self-worth perceptions (Greenspon, 2000; Lundh, 2004). Conversely, upon achieving desired outcomes, they are expected to subsequently set even higher standards for future performance episodes rather than be self-satisfied (Flett & Hewitt, 2006).

Facets of perfectionism typically grouped under the term "maladaptive" perfectionism (concerns over mistakes, discrepancy, doubts about actions, socially prescribed, parental expectations, and parental concerns) share the conceptual core of an obsessive aversion to, and concern with the possibility of, failing to reach high performance standards (Enns & Cox, 2002; Terry-Short et al., 1995). Thus, we use the term *failure-avoiding* when describing this higher-order dimension of perfectionism. Individuals that are high on failure-avoiding perfectionism also perceive that perfection is expected of them by others (Hewitt & Flett, 1991). Relatedly, a key motivational force driving these individuals' excessive concerns about not meeting standards is the belief that others will view them as having lower worth if they do not achieve perfection (Bieling, Israeli, & Antony, 2004). Interestingly, these individuals are certain that not achieving flawlessness will result in deleterious consequences and portend future failures (Hewitt et al., 2017), while achieving flawlessness will provide momentary relief (Shafran et al., 2002).

Excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism assess different dimensions of perfectionism, but they are also expected to positively relate to each other as they adhere to the overarching characteristics of perfectionism including all-or-nothing thinking, compulsively inflexible and lofty standards, and performance-contingent self-worth evaluations (Hewitt & Flett, 1993; Ozbilir et al., 2015). For example, one high in both dimensions simultaneously strives to achieve high, self-set standards and worries about others' negative evaluations of falling short, whereas one high only in excellence-seeking perfectionism experiences just the former (Hewitt & Flett, 1991). These dimensions function similarly to general approach-avoidance frameworks used in psychology (Flett & Hewitt, 2006), which are also somewhat contrasting yet positively related processes motivating individuals when performing tasks (Elliot & Church, 1997). Yet existing research has clearly distinguished the perfectionism dimensions from other constructs used in approach-avoidance frameworks (e.g., need for achievement, fear of failure, goal orientations; Eum & Rice, 2011; Onwuegbuzie, 2000; Stumpf & Parker, 2000).

The rationale for the expected relationships between work-relevant variables and perfectionism and its dimensions is presented next. Construct selection was driven by overarching themes in the extant perfectionism literature (specifically, the personality correlates of perfectionism, expected work effort, psychological work states, and mental well-being) and by the importance of understanding the perfectionism-job performance relationship to determine the overall impact of this phenomenon at work.

Perfectionism and Personality Correlates

Scholars studying perfectionism as a disposition often focus on the relationships between perfectionism and the five-factor model (FFM) of personality traits (Barrick, Mount, & Judge, 2001). Of the five factors, conscientiousness and emotional stability have

Table 1
 Summary of Prior Conceptualizations and Measurements of Perfectionism With Mapping to Excellence-Seeking and Failure-Avoiding Dimensions

Name of scale	Authors	Conceptualization/ description	Facets	Conceptualization of facets	Sample items	Excellence-seeking/ failure-avoiding
Almost Perfect Scale Revised (APS-R)	Slaney, Rice, Mobley, Trippi, & Ashby, 2001	Captures the high standards set for oneself and for organization, neatness, and order, as well as a perception that one is always falling short of these excessively high standards.	Order	Desiring things to be neat and orderly	I like to always be organized and disciplined; Neatness is important to me. If you don't expect much out of yourself you will never succeed; I have a strong need to strive for excellence.	Excellence-seeking
			High standards	Setting high performance goals and achievement levels for oneself		Excellence-seeking
Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS)	Hewitt & Flett, 1990, 1991	Comprises both personal and social components; the primary difference among these dimensions is not the behavior per se, but the object to whom the perfectionistic behavior is directed (e.g., self-oriented vs. other-oriented) or to whom the perfectionistic behavior is attributed (e.g., socially prescribed perfectionism).	Discrepancy	Captures the distress caused by the discrepancy between standards set for oneself and perceived performance toward those standards	My best just never seems to be good enough; My performance rarely lives up to my standards; I hardly ever feel that what I've done is good enough.	Failure-avoiding
			Self-oriented	Setting exacting standards for oneself, stringently evaluating and censuring one's own behavior, striving to attain perfection in one's endeavors and avoid failures	When I am working on something, I cannot relax until it is perfect; I must work to my full potential at all times; One of my goals is to be perfect in everything I do.	Excellence-seeking
			Other-oriented	Setting exacting standards for others; stringently evaluating others' behavior, stringently evaluates others' performance	If I ask someone to do something, I expect it to be done flawlessly; I have high expectations for people who are important to me.	Excellence-seeking
			Socially prescribed	Belief or perception that significant others have unrealistic standards for them, evaluate them stringently, and exert pressure on them to be perfect	My family/people expect me to be perfect. The better I do, the better I am expected to do. Anything I do that is less than excellent will be seen as poor work by those around me.	Failure-avoiding

(table continues)

Table 1 (continued)

Name of scale	Authors	Conceptualization/ description	Facets	Conceptualization of facets	Sample items	Excellence-seeking/ failure-avoiding
Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS-F)	Frost, Marten, Lahart, & Rosenblate, 1990	Defined perfectionism as the setting of excessively high standards for performance accompanied by overly critical self- evaluations. Four of the dimensions are directed towards the self (high personal standards, doubts about actions, concern over mistakes, and organization), and two dimensions are related to perceptions of perfectionism from one's parents (parental expectation and parental criticism).	Concerns over mistakes Organization Parental criticism Parental expectations Personal standards Doubts about actions	An excessive concern about making mistakes A preference for order and organization The perception of high parental criticism The perception of high parental expectations Having high personal standards The doubting of the quality of one's actions	If I fail at work/school, I am a failure as a person; If I do not do well all the time, people will not respect me. Neatness is very important to me. I never feel like I could meet my parents' standard; My parents never tried to understand my mistakes. My parents set very high standards for me; Only outstanding performance is good enough in my family. If I do not set the highest standards for myself, I am likely to end up a second-rate person; I set higher goals than most people. I usually have doubts about the simple everyday things I do; Even when I do something very carefully, I often feel that it is not quite right.	Failure-avoiding Excellence-seeking Failure-avoiding Failure-avoiding Excellence-seeking Failure-avoiding Failure-avoiding

Table 2
 Studies Included in the Meta-Analyses, Moderators, and Effect-Sizes With Overall Perfectionism

Reference	Sample number	N	Perfectionism scale used	Location	Publication status	Job type	Sample type	Dimensionality	Correlates	Effect size with perfectionism
Ahmetoglu, Harding, Akhtar, & Chamorro-Premuzic (2015)	1	210	MPS-F	0	0	0	0	ES	ENG	.04
	1	126	Other	0	1	1	1	P	A	.50
Bartczak & Oginska-Bulik (2012)	1	223	APS-R	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	D	.26
Beauregard (2012)	1	126	MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	PER	.22
Black & Reynolds (2013)	1	549	MPS	1	0	1	1	ES, FA	D	.30
Bousman (2008)	1	491	Other	1	1	1	0	FA	B	.19
Burke (2001)	1	243	Other	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	S	.22
	1	257	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	H	.14
	1	256	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	S	.27
Camadan, Kahveci, & Yavas (2013)	1	314	MPS	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	W	.30
Cha (2016)	1	69	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	W	.15
Chang (2000)	1	256	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	D	.26
Chang (2012)	1	314	MPS	0	1	1	1	FA	E	-.29
Childs & Stoeber (2012)	1	69	MPS	0	1	1	1	P	EXT	.02
Childs & Stoeber (2012)	2	195	MPS	0	1	1	1	P	S	.22
Childs & Stoeber (2010)	1	106	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	W	.46
Chung & Deuling (Mitchelson) (2017)	1	250	APS-R	1	0	0	0	P	B	.26
Clark, Lelchhook, & Taylor (2010)	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	.15
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	S	.25
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	.28
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	S	.35
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	ENG	.16
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	-.02
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	-.05
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	C	.32
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	E	.05
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	W	.19
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	EXT	.27
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	AGR	.15
	1	322	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	OPEN	.22
Crane, Phillips, & Karin (2015)	1	503	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	A	.47
	1	503	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	E	-.44
	1	503	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	H	.11
	1	503	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	S	.51
Cuttler & Graf (2007)	1	141	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	C	.10
	1	141	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	E	-.28
	1	141	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	EXT	.09
	1	141	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	AGR	-.25
	1	141	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	OPEN	-.12
Dahling & Thompson (2013)	1	139	Other	1	1	0	0	P	E	-.13
Dunkley, Blankstein, & Berg (2012)	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	A	.24
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	C	.13
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	D	.41
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	E	-.33
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	EXT	.16
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	AGR	-.21
	1	223	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	OPEN	.03

(table continues)

Table 2 (continued)

Reference	Sample number	N	Perfectionism scale used	Location	Publication status	Job type	Sample type	Dimensionality	Correlates	Effect size with perfectionism
Dunkley, Ma, Lee, Preacher, & Zuroff (2014)	1	196	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F	1	1	0	0	P	E S	-.36 .25
Dunn, Whelton, & Sharpe (2006)	1	369	MPS, MPS-F	1	1	1	1	FA	EXT A D	.07 .41 .42
Fairlie (2012)	1	278	MPS	1	0	0	0	P	ENG B	-.20 .10
Flaxman, Ménard, Bond, & Kinnman (2012)	1	77	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	D A B H W	.09 .31 .26 .07 .29
Flett, Besser, & Hewitt (2005)	1	210	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	D	.23
Flett, Hewitt, Blankstein, & Dynin (1994)	1	100	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	M	.43
Forrest, King, & Delfabbro (2016)	1	485	Other	0	1	0	0	P	A D	.25 .18
Gati et al. (2011)	1	190	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	S	.32
Guppy & Weatherstone (1997)	1	273	Other	0	1	1	1	P	A	.18
Hendricks (2007)	1	160	Other	1	0	1	0	P	D	.11
Hochwarter & Byrne (2010)	1	211	MPS	1	1	1	1	P	A E	.47 -.19
Howlett (1994)	1	133	Other	1	0	1	1	FA	EXT A	-.18 .08
Hrabluik (2010)	1	235	MPS-F, Other	1	0	1	1	ES, FA	D C H	-.23 .09 .15
Hrabluik (2010)	2	1,153	MPS-F, Other	1	0	1	1	ES, FA	E M PER B C	-.31 .13 .26 .31 .13
Kaden (1999)	1	242	MPS	1	0	1	1	ES, FA	E M PER	-.38 .25 -.01
Kanten & Yesiltas (2015)	1	146	Other	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	B H	.01 .28
Kenny, Davis, & Oates (2004)	1	29	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	P	B ENG A	.10 .27 .38
Kobori, Yoshie, Kudo, & Ohtsuki (2011)	1	275	MPS	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	S A H	-.03 .23 .15
Kung & Chan (2014)	1	144	Other	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	PER ENG S	.16 -.05 .19
Langan-Fox & Canty (2010)	1	441	Other	1	1	0	0	ES	D	.52
Li, Hou, Chi, Liu, & Hager (2014)	1	345	APS-R	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	B	.10
Locander, Weinberg, Mulki, & Locander (2015)	1	279	MPS-F	1	1	1	1	FA	PER	.00

Table 2 (continued)

Reference	Sample number	N	Perfectionism scale used	Location	Publication status	Job type	Sample type	Dimensionality	Correlates	Effect size with perfectionism
Lundh, Johnsson, Sundqvist, & Olsson (2002)	1	74	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	P	E	-.57
Mackinnon, Sherry, Antony, Stewart, Sherry, & Hartling (2012)	1	226	MPS, MPS-F, Other	1	1	0	0	FA	D	.48
Mackinnon, Sherry, Antony, Stewart, Sherry, & Hartling (2012)	2	226	MPS, MPS-F, Other	1	1	0	0	FA	D	.25
Mandel, Dunkley, & Moroz (2015)	1	150	APS-R, MPS, MPS-F, Other	1	1	0	0	FA	E	-.18
									A	.41
Maitlon (2015)	1	82	MPS-F	1	0	1	0	ES, FA	D	.58
Mazzetti, Schaufeli, & Guglielmi (2014)	1	333	Other	0	1	1	0	ES	E	-.36
									B	.14
									S	.32
									C	.38
									M	.11
									W	.21
Methikalam (2008)	1	170	MPS-F	1	0	0	0	FA	A	.52
									D	.55
Methikalam, Wang, Slaney, & Yeung (2015)	1	174	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	A	.25
									D	-.06
Mitchelson & Burns (1998)	1	66	MPS, Other	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	.11
Mitchelson (2009)	1	278	APS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	C	.31
									E	.01
									M	.26
									EXT	.19
									AGR	.19
									OPEN	.20
Moate, Gnilka, West, & Bruns (2016)	1	178	APS-R	1	1	1	0	ES, FA	B	.21
									S	.33
Molnar, Reker, Culp, Sadava, & DeCourville (2006)	1	492	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	E	-.14
									EXT	.23
Moore (1984)	1	341	Other	1	0	1	1	FA	B	.05
Mor, Day, Flett, & Hewitt (1995)	1	87	MPS	1	1	1	1	P	A	.09
									M	-.18
									PER	.03
Murphy (2005)	1	253	APS-R	1	0	0	0	ES, FA	A	.18
									S	.08
Nejad, Besharat, Haddadi, & Abdolmanafi (2011)	1	234	MPS	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	E	.00
									EXT	.18
Newhouse (2008)	1	288	Other	1	0	1	0	P	C	.42
									E	.06
									EXT	-.12
O'Connor, O'Connor, & Marshall (2007)	1	277	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	A	.21
									D	.16
									W	.24
O'Connor, O'Connor, & Marshall (2007)	2	224	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	A	.22
									D	.21
									W	.32
Ogus (2008)	1	313	MPS	1	0	1	1	P	B	.19
									S	.33

(table continues)

Table 2 (continued)

Reference	Sample number	N	Perfectionism scale used	Location	Publication status	Job type	Sample type	Dimensionality	Correlates	Effect size with perfectionism
Ogus (2008)	2	305	MPS	1	0	1	1	P	B	.23
Ogus (2008)	3	294	MPS	1	0	1	1	P	S	.36
Ozibilir, Day, & Catano (2015)	1	114	AFS-R	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	B	.27
									C	.43
									M	.10
									S	.11
									ENG	.15
									B	.12
Ozibilir, Day, & Catano (2015)	2	155	AFS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	ENG	.07
									C	.08
									M	.25
									S	.18
									ENG	.11
Perez-Prada (1997)	1	332	Other	1	0	1	1	FA	S	.34
Powers, Koestner, Zuroff, Milyavskaya, Gorin (2011)	1	68	MPS	1	1	0	0	P	W	.42
Procopio, Holm-Denoma, Gordon, & Joiner (2006)	1	150	Other	1	1	0	1	P	M	.09
Proost, Derous, Schreurs, Hagtvet, & De Witte (2008)	1	271	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	A	.15
Purvis, Howell, & Iyer (2011)	1	1,858	Other	1	1	0	0	ES	D	.30
Saboonchi & Lundh (2003)	1	183	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	E	.13
Schwenke, Ashby, & Gnilka (2014)	1	238	AFS-R	1	1	0	0	ES, FA	C	.25
Scott, Yap, Francis, & Schuster (2014)	1	240	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	FA	E	.04
Sherry, Gautreau, Mushquash, Sherry, & Allen (2014)	1	218	MPS, MPS-F, Other	1	1	0	0	FA	EXT	.16
Sherry, Hewitt, Sherry, Flett, & Graham (2010)	1	1,258	MPS	1	1	1	1	P	AGR	.11
									OPEN	.29
Shoss, Callison, & Witt (2015)	1	154	MPS	1	1	1	1	P	E	-.12
Spence & Robbins (1992)	1	134	Other	1	1	0	1	P	EXT	-.24
Spence & Robbins (1992)	2	157	Other	1	1	0	1	P	B	.12
Stoeber, Davis, & Townley (2013)	1	133	MPS	0	1	0	0	P	S	.21
Tziner & Tanami (2013)	1	139	AFS-R	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	A	.45
									D	.23
									D	.35
									E	-.48
									C	.05
									E	-.27
									PER	-.08
									C	.31
									PER	.09
									S	.46
									W	.42
									S	.50
									W	.41
									M	.06
									W	.18
									M	-.04
									W	.33
									ENG	.10

Table 2 (continued)

Reference	Sample number	N	Perfectionism scale used	Location	Publication status	Job type	Sample type	Dimensionality	Correlates	Effect size with perfectionism
Vartanian & Grisham (2012)	1	142	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	FA	E	-.57
Vartanian & Grisham (2012)	2	178	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	FA	E	-.41
Vergauwe, Wille, Feys, De Fruyt, & Anseel (2015)	1	201	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	ES, FA	C	.06
									E	-.26
									PER	-.07
									EXT	.13
									AGR	-.22
									OPEN	-.01
Vliegen, Luyten, Meurs, & Cluckers (2006)	1	244	Other	0	0	0	0	FA	A	.55
									D	.13
Wirtz et al., (2007)	1	47	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	FA	A	.45
									B	.31
									C	.14
									D	.49
									E	-.31
									EXT	.03
									AGR	-.15
									OPEN	-.24
Wirtz, Ehler, Kottwitz, La Marca, & Semmer (2013)	1	43	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	FA	S	.22
Włodarczyk & Obacz (2013)	1	100	Other	0	1	0	1	ES, FA	B	.08
									ENG	-.06
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	1	362	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	H	.23
									W	.58
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	2	183	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	D	.17
									H	.13
									W	.68
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	3	594	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	H	.07
									W	.62
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	4	100	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	W	.81
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	5	100	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	ENG	.02
Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl (2013)	6	120	MPS-F	0	1	1	1	FA	W	.70
									B	.23
									W	.73
Yap, Gibbs, Francis, & Schuster (2016)	1	382	MPS-F	0	1	0	0	ES, FA	A	.23
Zwaan, Ter Bogt, & Raaijmakers (2009)	1	340	MPS	0	1	0	1	P	C	.27
									E	-.08
									PER	.04
									EXT	.08
									AGR	.23
									OPEN	.26

Note. Location: 1 = North America, 0 = other. Publication status: 1 = published, 0 = unpublished (including dissertations). Job type: 1 = full-time employees, 0 = other (mixed sample/unknown/shifts). Sample type: 1 = organization-based, 0 = other. Dimensionality: P = perfectionism; ES = excellence-seeking; FA = failure-avoiding. Correlates codes: B = burnout; E = emotional stability; PER = performance; W = workaholism; A = anxiety; D = depression; S = stress; C = conscientiousness; ENG = engagement; H = hours worked; M = motivation; EXT = extraversion; AGR = agreeableness; OPEN = openness.

Table 3
Correlates and Moderators of Perfectionism Coding, Their Definitions, and Measures

Variable	Variable conceptualization	Example scales
Dependent variables		
Conscientiousness	Personality dimension reflecting one's overall dependability, achievement-orientation, and perseverance (Barrick & Mount, 1991)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> International Personality Inventory Pool's Big Five Factor markers (Goldberg, Johnson, Eber, Hogan, Ashton, Cloninger, & Gough, 2006) used in Clark, Leichhook, & Taylor, 2010
Emotional stability	Personality dimension characterized by the lack of anxiety, hostility, depression, and personal insecurity (Barrick & Mount, 1991)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEO-PI-R (Costa & McCrae, 1992) used in Dunkley, Blankstein, & Berg, 2012 International Personality Inventory Pool's Big Five Factor markers (Goldberg, Johnson, Eber, Hogan, Ashton, Cloninger, & Gough, 2006) used in Clark et al., 2010
Agreeableness	Personality dimension characterized by courteousness, flexibility, and tolerance (Barrick & Mount, 1991)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEO-PI-R (Costa & McCrae, 1992) used in Dunkley et al., 2012
Extraversion	Personality dimension characterized by ambition and sociability (Barrick & Mount, 1991)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> International Personality Inventory Pool's Big Five Factor markers (Goldberg, Johnson, Eber, Hogan, Ashton, Cloninger, & Gough, 2006) used in Clark et al., 2010
Openness	Personality dimension characterized by imagination, intellect, and artistic sensitivity (Barrick & Mount, 1991)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEO-PI-R (Costa & McCrae, 1992) used in Dunkley et al., 2012
Motivation	The set of internal and external factors that initiate work-related effort (Latham & Pinder, 2005)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation Scale (WEIMS), intrinsic items (Tremblay, Blanchard, Taylor, Pelletier, & Villeneuve, 2009) used in Stoeber, Davis, & Townley, 2013
Hours worked	Time spent on work-relevant tasks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Achievement striving items from International Personality Item Pool (IPIP, 2001) used in Mitchelson, 2009 Typically one-item self-report measure of hours worked in a certain time frame toward a certain goal or at work, in general; e.g., hours per week in Kaden, 1999
Workaholism	Compulsion to work incessantly and think about work even when not at work (Scotti, Moore, & Miceli, 1997)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work Addiction Risk Scale (WART; Robinson, 1998) used in Wojdylo, Baumann, Buczny, Owens, & Kuhl, 2013
Engagement	A psychological state characterized by absorption in work, dedication to work tasks, and heightened energy while working (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workaholism Battery (Spence & Robbins, 1992) used in Perez-Prada, 1997 Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (Schaufeli, Bakker, & Salanova, 2006) used in Ahmetoglu et al., 2015
Burnout—overall	Prolonged reaction to chronic interpersonal and emotional stressors encountered at work (Maslach, 2003)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COPE Inventory (reverse coded from disengagement; Carver, Scheier, & Weintraub, 1989) used in Dunn, Whelton, & Sharpe, 2006 Maslach Burnout Inventory-General (Maslach, Jackson, & Leiter, 1996) used in Li, Hou, Chi, Liu, & Hager, 2014 Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI Subscale; Kristensen, Borritz, Villadsen, & Christensen, 2005) used in Moate, Gnilka, West, & Bruns, 2016 Maslach Burnout Inventory-General (Maslach, et al., 1996) used in Li et al., 2014 Maslach Burnout Inventory-General (Maslach, et al., 1996) used in Li et al., 2014
Burnout—emotional exhaustion	Feelings of being emotionally overextended and depleted of one's emotional resources (Maslach, 1998)	
Burnout—cynicism	Negative, callous attitude towards one's job, including a loss of idealism (González-Romá, Schaufeli, Bakker, & Lloret, 2006)	
Burnout—reduced personal efficacy	Decline in feelings of competence and productivity (Maslach, 1998)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maslach Burnout Inventory-General (Maslach, et al., 1996) used in Li et al., 2014

Table 3 (continued)

Variable	Variable conceptualization	Example scales
Stress	Psychological response to demands for which there is something at stake, and insufficient resources exist to cope with those demands (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983) used in Bousman, 2008 • Role Stress Scale (Rizzo, House, & Lirtzman, 1970) used in Childs & Stoeber, 2012
Anxiety	Negative, highly aroused affect related to anticipation of a physical or psychological threat (Sowislo & Orth, 2013)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Anxiety Scale of the STAI (Spielberger, 1983) used in Murphy, 2005 • Anxiety items from Depression Anxiety Stress Scales, DASS (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995) used in Crane, Phillips, & Karim, 2015
Depression	Loss of self-esteem and incentive, associated with low perceived probability of attaining significant life goals (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Center for Epidemiological Studies depression scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977) used in Flett, Besser, & Hewitt, 2005 • Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck & Steer, 1993) used in Vliegen, Luyten, Meurs, & Cluckers, 2006
Job performance	Work behaviors, both job-specific and not, that affect organizational productivity (Ng & Feldman, 2008)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Count of outputs (e.g., publications) used in Sherry, Hewitt, Sherry, Flett, & Graham, 2010 • Count of awards used in Kobori, Yoshie, Kudo, & Ohtsuki, 2011 • Score on knowledge-based exams used in Hrabluik, 2010
Moderators		
Scale used	Indicator of the scale used to measure perfectionism and its dimensions (if applicable)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Table 1: APS-R, MPS, MPS-F, & Other
Sample location	Geographic region where study took place	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North America: Canada, United States, Mexico • Non-North America: All other countries
Publication status	Indicator of whether study came from a paper that has been published in a peer-reviewed journal or not	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Published: Study was in a peer-reviewed journal publication • Unpublished: Study was a dissertation or working paper
Job type	Indicator of whether subjects for the study were noted as full-time employees (e.g., job uses all of individual's available working time, typically 40 hours a week)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full-time: Individuals surveyed or studied worked a full-time schedule • Other: Individuals surveyed or studied worked part-time, or study did not specify work schedule characteristics
Sample type	Indicator of where study sample was recruited from	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organization: Sample was recruited using one or more work organizations • Other: Sample was not recruited using work organizations or did not specify

received a disproportionate amount of research focus due to the expected strength of their relationships with perfectionism. Employees that are high on perfectionism, especially excellence-seeking perfectionism, strive for order and achievement and are dutiful and organized, characteristics that are also associated with conscientiousness (Dunkley et al., 2012; McCrae & Costa, 1997). Conversely, individuals low on conscientiousness are identified as careless, irresponsible, and lazy (Barrick et al., 2001), which run counter to the high standards and concern for mistakes that characterizes perfectionism and its dimensions (Frost et al., 1990). Notably, distinguishing perfectionism from conscientiousness is the inflexibility around and focus on seeking absolutely flawless outcomes (Flett & Hewitt, 2002). Individuals high in perfectionism are also expected to be low in emotional stability (high in neuroticism), especially those that are high in failure-avoiding perfectionism, as they experience high levels of concerns over mistakes, critical thoughts, and self-doubt (Frost & DiBartolo, 2002), which are also indicative of low emotional stability. Differentiating the two are the high levels of impulsivity and hostility characteristic of low emotional stability but not perfectionism (Costa & McCrae, 1992; Dunkley et al., 2012).

Although less theoretical clarity exists linking overall perfectionism to the other three FFM traits, there is some conceptual overlap with perfectionism dimensions. For instance, agreeableness is characterized by being amenable and trusting of others (Costa, McCrae, & Dye, 1991) which is likely negatively related to the tendency to perceive others' evaluations as threats to one's self-worth that is associated with failure-avoiding perfectionism (Hewitt et al., 2017). Extraversion is expected to be positively related to excellence-seeking perfectionism given the overlapping focus on valuing achievement and sensitivity to rewards (Lucas, Diener, Grob, Suh, & Shao, 2000; Randles, Flett, Nash, McGregor, & Hewitt, 2010), but negatively related to failure-avoiding perfectionism given extraverts' tendency to be optimistic (Sharpe, Martin, & Roth, 2011). Finally, although excellence-seeking perfectionism and openness are characterized by foresight, analytical thinking about tasks, and motivation for higher levels of experiences, excellence-seeking perfectionistic tendencies include adhering to strict standards, which is inconsistent with openness (Frost et al., 1990; McCrae, 1994). Individuals high in failure-avoiding perfectionism are expected to perceive novel tasks as opportunities for failure (Slade & Owens, 1998) and therefore are expected to have lower openness to experience.

Work Effort Correlates

Researchers have investigated several ways perfectionism is related to efforts to complete tasks in a flawless manner. For instance, perfectionism is expected to be positively related to an individual's level of motivation, or the set of internal and external factors that initiate or induce action (Latham & Pinder, 2005). Individuals high in perfectionism hold high standards for their work outcomes and consequently exhibit high levels of motivation when completing work tasks (Stoeber, Davis, & Townley, 2013). In particular, individuals high in excellence-seeking perfectionism are expected to invest greater effort toward producing flawless work (Slade & Owens, 1998). However, the motivation of individuals high on failure-avoiding perfectionism is expected to be weaker due to their concerns about performing poorly, which may

be deleterious (Steel, 2007). Further, individuals high on perfectionism are expected not only to be highly motivated, but also more willing to work longer on their work tasks. Investing more time at work helps facilitate the achievement of the excessive performance standards individuals high in perfectionism set for those tasks (Burke, 2001). Also related to individuals' work efforts is workaholism, which is depicted as individuals' compulsion to work incessantly and think about work even when not at work (Scottl, Moore, & Miceli, 1997). Although a prior meta-analysis has shown a positive relationship between perfectionism and workaholism (Clark, Michel, Zhdanova, Pui, & Baltes, 2016), the study only examined overall perfectionism. However, as workaholism is often viewed as a compulsion driven by employees feeling "distressed or guilty" about not working (Spence & Robbins, 1992, p. 2), it is likely that workaholism is more strongly related to failure-avoiding perfectionism compared to excellence-seeking perfectionism.

Psychological Work State Correlates

Two core and contrasting concepts in organizational psychology that are often studied alongside perfectionism are employee engagement and burnout. Employee engagement is a psychological state characterized by absorption in work, dedication to job tasks, and experienced vigor and heightened energy while working (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004). As employees higher in perfectionism perceive achieving excellence as important and necessary, they are expected to have greater dedication, absorption, and vigor when striving to produce flawless work (Childs & Stoeber, 2010; Tziner & Tanami, 2013). However, individuals with higher failure-avoiding perfectionism may experience lower levels of work engagement because of their increased inclination to have concerns and doubts about their actions. Conversely, employees who are high in excellence-seeking perfectionism are expected to experience greater engagement, given their inflexible focus on achievement and commensurate investments of energies into their work.

Job burnout is a chronic psychological state characterized by emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced personal efficacy (Maslach, 2003). Perfectionism and burnout are expected to be positively related as employees higher in perfectionism likely experience higher levels of emotional exhaustion and reduced efficacy given the extreme expectations they place on themselves at work and their tendency to doubt their ability to fulfill job demands (Childs & Stoeber, 2012; Hill & Curran, 2016). In addition, individuals high in perfectionism are more likely to experience high levels of cynicism toward the job, especially the interpersonal elements of work, if they feel others judge their work as less than ideal or as a failure (Bieling et al., 2004; Maslach, 2003). This likely will be magnified for employees high in failure-avoiding, as compared to excellence-seeking, perfectionism as burnout involves employees' depletion and feelings of incompetence on the job (Cole, Walter, Bedeian, & O'Boyle, 2012).

Mental Well-Being Correlates

The striving for flawlessness present in individuals high on perfectionism may create substantial demands that affect various mental well-being indicators (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Schwenke, Ashby, & Gnilka, 2014). Greater stress levels are expected

Table 4
Means and Standard Deviations of Reliabilities for Primary Studies Used

Variable	<i>M</i> reliability	<i>SD</i>
Overall perfectionism	.83	.07
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	.83	.05
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	.87	.06
Conscientiousness	.79	.07
Emotional stability	.86	.08
Agreeableness	.82	.04
Extraversion	.87	.05
Openness	.79	.05
Motivation	.75	.09
Hours worked	1.00	.00
Workaholism	.82	.05
Engagement	.84	.10
Burnout	.86	.05
Emotional exhaustion	.89	.05
Cynicism	.83	.06
Reduced personal efficacy	.80	.06
Stress	.85	.05
Anxiety	.88	.07
Depression	.88	.06
Job performance	.85	.07
Task performance	.84	.08
Organizational citizenship behavior	.87	.07

in employees higher in perfectionism given perfectionistic tendencies to have doubts regarding their work quality and a reduced ability to cope with perceived work hassles (Dunkley et al., 2003; Stoeber & Otto, 2006). Over time, the prolonged activation of strain resulting from continually working for and worrying about one's performance is expected to result in anxiety among those higher in perfectionism (Kawamura, Hunt, Frost, & DiBartolo, 2001). Moreover, imposing excessive standards for oneself and feeling unable to meet those standards may create reoccurring experiences of unmet expectations, which can lead to depression if left unresolved (Flett, Besser, Davis, & Hewitt, 2003). These relationships with mental well-being are expected to be more pronounced for employees higher in failure-avoiding, compared to excellence-seeking, perfectionism given these individuals' tendencies to obsess about mistakes, doubt their performance, and worry about disappointing others (Stoeber & Rennert, 2008).

Relationship Between Perfectionism and Performance

Intuitively, one might assume perfectionism is positively related to job performance given that the core of perfectionism is a desire to produce faultless work outcomes. However, existing research

provides inconclusive, and even conflicting, evidence regarding the relationship between perfectionism (and its dimensions) and job performance (Hrabluik, Latham, & McCarthy, 2012; Locander, Weinberg, Mulki, & Locander, 2015). Although perfectionism is expected to be positively correlated with conscientiousness, work efforts, and engagement, all of which are predictors of higher performance (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Deci & Ryan, 1985; Rich, LePine, & Crawford, 2010), it is also expected to be positively related to neuroticism (i.e., low emotional stability), burnout, stress, and anxiety, which are associated with reduced performance (Barrick et al., 2001; Lepine, Podsakoff, & LePine, 2005; Swider & Zimmerman, 2010). In addition, even for high excellence-seeking perfectionism, striving for faultless performance in one work domain may come at the expense of performing well in another, given inherent resource constraints (e.g., time, energy; Bergeron, 2007) and the unwillingness to lower performance standards (Sherry et al., 2010). Because theoretical explanations are mixed, making a directional prediction regarding the relationship between perfectionism (and its dimensions) and job performance would be unsubstantiated.

Method

Literature Search and Inclusion Criteria

To identify studies for inclusion, we searched electronic databases including EBSCOhost (i.e., Business Source Complete, PsycINFO, and PsycARTICLES), Web of Science, and ProQuest using several combinations of keywords related to perfectionism (e.g., perfectioni* and job, employee*, work, performance, citizenship, prosocial, OCB, organization, emotional stab*, neurotic*, conscious*, motiv*, efficac*, organizational, negative affect*, positive affect*). We also posted a call for papers to relevant listservs and searched conference programs to minimize the possibility of publication bias (Cooper, 2016). This search generated 3,995 published journal articles, book chapters, and nonpublished studies, including 306 dissertations. For a study to be included in this meta-analysis, it had to meet several inclusion criteria. First, the study needed to empirically examine perfectionism and a variable(s) of interest. Second, the article needed to report enough information to calculate the correlation between perfectionism and the variable(s) of interest. Third, we included samples that consisted of work-aged (over 18) participants so as to ensure our results reflect effect sizes expected in work-age populations. Fourth, studies needed to consist of nonclinical samples of participants. Finally, studies could not be based solely on athlete or academic samples. After an initial review, 2,316 papers met these criteria.

Table 5
Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Excellence-Seeking Perfectionism and Failure-Avoiding Perfectionism

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>N</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism	48	11,898	.29	.21	.34	.24	.03	.64	.27	.41	7.83%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SD ρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

Table 6
 Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Perfectionism and Personality Correlates

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Conscientiousness											
Overall perfectionism	16	7,104	.19	.11	.24	.14	.06	.41	.17	.31	15.54%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	14	6,770	.30	.13	.37	.16	.18	.57	.29	.46	10.41%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	11	4,131	-.11	.09	-.14	.08	-.24	-.03	-.20	-.08	36.01%
Emotional stability											
Overall perfectionism	27	9,776	-.19	.18	-.24	.20	-.50	.02	-.32	-.16	8.32%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	17	7,782	-.09	.13	-.11	.15	-.30	.08	-.18	-.04	12.47%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	20	6,318	-.35	.17	-.42	.18	-.65	-.19	-.50	-.34	9.62%
Agreeableness											
Overall perfectionism	8	3,408	.07	.14	.09	.17	-.13	.31	-.03	.21	11.44%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	7	3,363	.11	.16	.14	.20	-.11	.39	.00	.29	8.03%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	6	1,210	-.21	.06	-.24	.00	-.24	-.24	-.30	-.19	100.00%
Extraversion											
Overall perfectionism	15	5,269	.08	.12	.10	.13	-.07	.27	.02	.17	19.11%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	12	4,679	.14	.09	.18	.10	.06	.30	.12	.24	28.98%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	11	2,527	-.07	.29	-.09	.32	-.50	.33	-.28	.11	5.28%
Openness											
Overall perfectionism	8	3,409	.21	.13	.27	.16	.06	.48	.15	.39	12.15%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	7	3,363	.26	.11	.34	.13	.17	.51	.24	.44	15.27%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	6	1,211	-.13	.06	-.15	.00	-.15	-.15	-.21	-.10	100.00%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SDρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

Data Coding and Coding Scheme

Two of the four study authors reviewed each of the 2,316 articles identified for possible inclusion. One of the authors made the initial decision of whether a study met all inclusion criteria, and another author independently reviewed the same article to agree or disagree with the decision. All disagreements were resolved in a meeting with the author team. This resulted in 95 usable samples for coding, detailed in Table 2, including 19 dissertations. Each author coded 25% of the articles, which were then independently checked by another author (interrater agreement of 94%). Again, disagreements were resolved in a meeting with the author team.

Described in Table 3, perfectionism correlates and moderators were coded consistent with prevailing definitions and measures from the literature.¹ Coding of measures of perfectionism, and dimensions of excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism, is reported in Table 1. Studies that did not report correlations for, or that used measures that did not assess, perfectionism dimensions were used solely in meta-analyses of overall perfectionism. In addition, the dimensions of perfectionism were examined independently when possible, as described in the conceptual development.

Meta-Analytic Procedures

Effect sizes were estimated using Schmidt-Hunter random-effects meta-analysis method (Schmidt & Hunter, 2015). As most included studies reported reliability estimates for both the independent and dependent variables, individual correction methods were used (Schmidt & Le, 2004). Local reliability estimates (e.g., coefficients alpha) were used to correct correlations for unreliability. Although infrequent, mean reliabilities, which are reported in Table 4, were used when a primary study failed to report reliability

information for a given variable. To ensure statistical independence, only one correlation per sample was used for each separate meta-analysis. In cases when multiple measures of perfectionism, its dimensions, or correlates were reported for a given sample, we computed composite correlations for constructs assessed with multiple measures rather than include separate correlations for each measure.

To examine the variability of the corrected correlation across included studies, 80% credibility intervals (CVs), which specify the generalizability of a relationship (Schmidt & Hunter, 2015), were calculated. CVs excluding zero indicate that the relationship is considered to exist across situations, although the magnitude of the relationship may vary. Standard error of the mean corrected correlation was computed and used to establish the 95% confidence interval (CIs) around the mean corrected correlation. CIs excluding zero suggest that the mean true-score correlation differs from zero. Finally, the percentage of variability in observed correlations that may be accounted for by statistical artifact was calculated as moderators to relationships likely exist when a substantial amount of variance (i.e., >25%; Hunter & Schmidt, 2004) is not attributable to artifacts. Consistent with previous meta-analyses (e.g., Choi, Oh, & Colbert, 2015), we set the minimum number of samples to be included in our meta-analyses at three samples from at least two different sets of researchers as meta-analyses based on a small number of samples (*ks*) may suffer from second-order sampling error (Schmidt & Hunter, 2015).

¹ Potential moderators of scale used, sample location, publication status, job type, and sample type were also coded. However, most moderator analyses produced minimal differences and were therefore excluded from subsequent tables. Full results including moderator analyses are available from Dana Harari upon request.

Table 7
Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Perfectionism and Work Effort Correlates

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Motivation											
Overall perfectionism	11	2,800	.19	.11	.23	.12	.08	.39	.16	.31	28.89%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	11	2,800	.29	.15	.38	.16	.17	.59	.28	.48	16.68%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	9	2,399	.04	.21	.04	.24	-.27	.35	-.12	.20	8.47%
Hours worked											
Overall perfectionism	9	2,871	.14	.06	.15	.01	.14	.16	.11	.19	96.87%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism											
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	7	2,246	.12	.05	.14	.00	.14	.14	.09	.18	100.00%
Workaholism											
Overall perfectionism	19	4,608	.41	.18	.49	.22	.21	.76	.39	.59	8.16%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	7	1,673	.14	.13	.16	.15	-.03	.35	.04	.28	21.14%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	15	3,728	.47	.16	.56	.19	.33	.80	.47	.66	9.23%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SDρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

Results

Results of the meta-analyses are reported in Tables 5 to 10. Although not the primary focus of this study, we ran a meta-analysis for the correlation between excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism. Consistent with prior research, results reported in Table 5 indicate that the relationship between excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism was positive and generalizable ($\rho = .34$).

Results reported in Table 6 indicate the relationship between perfectionism and conscientiousness was positive and shown to generalize ($\rho = .24$). Furthermore, the relationship with conscientiousness was found to be even stronger for excellence-seeking perfectionism ($\rho = .37$) but was negative and generalizable for failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = -.14$).² Contrary to some extant research (Dunkley et al., 2012), the expected negative relationship between perfectionism and emotional stability received mixed support. The overall relationship between perfectionism and emotional stability was negative ($\rho = -.24$) with a confidence interval that excluded zero but a credibility interval that did not. Yet, analyses of dimensions provides some clarity as the relationship between emotional stability and failure-avoiding perfectionism was large and generalizable ($\rho = -.42$) but weak for excellence-seeking perfectionism ($\rho = -.11$).

Also detailed in Table 6, the perfectionism-agreeableness relationship was small and not generalizable ($\rho = .09$), whereas failure-avoiding perfectionism had a negative, generalizable relationship with agreeableness ($\rho = -.24$). The perfectionism-extraversion relationship was small and not generalizable ($\rho = .10$). Yet, excellence-seeking perfectionism had a positive and generalizable relationship with extraversion ($\rho = .18$). Surprisingly, the relationship between openness and perfectionism was found to be medium-sized, positive, and generalizable ($\rho = .27$). In fact, openness had differential generalizable effects with the dimensions of perfectionism, as excellence-seeking perfectionism was positively related ($\rho = .34$), whereas failure-avoiding perfectionism was negatively related to openness ($\rho = -.15$).³

Results reported in Table 7 support prior research suggesting a positive, generalizable relationship between perfectionism and mo-

tivation ($\rho = .23$). Interestingly, analyses indicated that this relationship was stronger and still generalizable for excellence-seeking perfectionism ($\rho = .38$) but near nil for failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = .04$). Hours worked was found to be positively related to both overall ($\rho = .15$) and failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = .14$) with the true-score correlation being modest but generalizable. Results for the perfectionism-workaholism relationship ($\rho = .49$) were relatively consistent with a recent workaholism meta-analysis (Clark et al., 2016), although the present study includes nearly double the amount of samples ($k = 10$ vs. $k = 19$) and observations ($N = 2,738$ vs. $N = 4,608$). Further extending this research,

² An additional set of dimension-level analyses were run for all correlates using only studies that provided effect sizes for a given correlate as well as both excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism. Results and interpretations of these additional analyses did not meaningfully differ from our main analyses (average change in $\rho = .02$) and are available from the Dana Harari upon request.

³ At the request of the Review Team, we conducted additional analyses using existing meta-analytic correlation estimates between personality traits and variables of interest in this study (i.e., workaholism, burnout and its dimensions, anxiety, depression, and job performance; Barrick et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2016; Kotov, Gamez, Schmidt, & Watson, 2010; Mount, Barrick, Scullen, & Rounds, 2005; Swider & Zimmerman, 2010) to examine the incremental predictive validity of perfectionism over personality traits. Results indicated that overall perfectionism exhibited incremental predictive validity beyond FFM personality traits when predicting workaholism ($R^2 = .27$; $\Delta R^2 = .20$), emotional exhaustion ($R^2 = .33$; $\Delta R^2 = .01$), cynicism ($R^2 = .23$; $\Delta R^2 = .02$), reduced personal efficacy ($R^2 = .28$; $\Delta R^2 = .01$), anxiety ($R^2 = .40$; $\Delta R^2 = .09$), and depression ($R^2 = .36$; $\Delta R^2 = .15$) but not job performance ($R^2 = .06$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$). Excellence-seeking perfectionism exhibited incremental predictive validity beyond FFM personality traits when predicting reduced personal efficacy ($R^2 = .28$; $\Delta R^2 = .01$), anxiety ($R^2 = .32$; $\Delta R^2 = .02$), and depression ($R^2 = .25$; $\Delta R^2 = .03$) but not workaholism ($R^2 = .06$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$), emotional exhaustion ($R^2 = .33$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$), cynicism ($R^2 = .21$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$), or job performance ($R^2 = .06$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$). Finally, results indicated failure-avoiding perfectionism exhibited incremental predictive validity beyond FFM personality traits when predicting workaholism ($R^2 = .39$; $\Delta R^2 = .33$), emotional exhaustion ($R^2 = .36$; $\Delta R^2 = .04$), cynicism ($R^2 = .25$; $\Delta R^2 = .04$), anxiety ($R^2 = .39$; $\Delta R^2 = .09$), and depression ($R^2 = .34$; $\Delta R^2 = .13$) but not reduced personal efficacy ($R^2 = .27$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$) or job performance ($R^2 = .06$; $\Delta R^2 < .01$).

Table 8
Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Perfectionism and Psychological Work State Correlates

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Engagement											
Overall perfectionism	10	1,586	.01	.14	.02	.14	-.16	.20	-.08	.12	30.91%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	8	1,117	.29	.16	.35	.18	.12	.57	.21	.48	21.73%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	9	1,376	-.16	.10	-.19	.07	-.28	-.09	-.26	-.12	61.24%
Burnout—overall											
Overall perfectionism	25	5,981	.18	.10	.21	.09	.09	.33	.17	.26	37.98%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	19	4,958	.07	.17	.08	.18	-.15	.31	.00	.17	13.99%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	24	5,857	.30	.09	.34	.07	.26	.43	.31	.38	49.51%
Burnout—emotional exhaustion											
Overall perfectionism	21	4,935	.22	.13	.26	.12	.10	.42	.20	.32	25.18%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	15	3,911	.13	.16	.15	.17	-.06	.37	.07	.24	15.51%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	20	4,810	.35	.13	.39	.12	.24	.55	.34	.45	22.77%
Burnout—cynicism											
Overall perfectionism	16	3,405	.14	.10	.17	.09	.05	.28	.11	.22	45.77%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	12	2,507	-.01	.15	-.01	.16	-.21	.19	-.11	.08	22.37%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	15	3,280	.30	.12	.36	.10	.23	.49	.30	.42	34.68%
Burnout—reduced personal efficacy											
Overall perfectionism	13	2,821	.07	.11	.08	.11	-.06	.22	.01	.15	35.19%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	10	2,238	.00	.23	.00	.27	-.35	.34	-.17	.17	8.40%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	12	2,697	.12	.20	.15	.23	-.15	.45	.01	.29	10.65%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SDρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

results indicate failure-avoiding perfectionism and workaholism ($\rho = .56$) were strongly related while the excellence-seeking perfectionism-workaholism relationship was much weaker ($\rho = .16$) and nongeneralizable with confidence intervals that did not overlap.

The results reported in Table 8 provided mixed support for the perfectionism-engagement relationship. The overall relationship was virtually zero ($\rho = .02$), however, results for perfectionism dimensions provide some clarity to these tepid findings as both excellence-seeking ($\rho = .35$) and failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = -.19$) had medium-sized, generalizable relationships with engagement but in opposite directions with nonoverlapping con-

fidence intervals. This suggests the criticality of perfectionism dimensions to understanding the perfectionism-engagement relationship. Also reported in Table 8, the overall relationship between perfectionism and burnout was positive ($\rho = .21$) and even stronger with nonoverlapping confidence intervals for failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = .34$) compared to the nongeneralizable relationship with excellence-seeking perfectionism ($\rho = .08$). Although a recent meta-analysis of perfectionism and burnout (Hill & Curran, 2016) does not estimate overall effects of perfectionism and burnout, the results for analyses of perfectionism dimensions in this study are somewhat weaker (on average), perhaps due to the other study being primarily comprised of education and sports

Table 9
Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Perfectionism and Mental Well-Being Correlates

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Stress											
Overall perfectionism	23	5,567	.30	.11	.36	.11	.22	.49	.31	.41	28.24%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	14	3,107	.09	.16	.11	.17	-.10	.32	.02	.20	18.17%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	17	4,001	.40	.10	.47	.09	.35	.58	.42	.51	34.10%
Anxiety											
Overall perfectionism	24	5,244	.30	.16	.35	.17	.14	.56	.28	.42	15.71%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	9	2,168	.09	.07	.11	.04	.05	.16	.06	.16	75.57%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	16	3,376	.36	.16	.42	.16	.22	.63	.34	.50	15.52%
Depression											
Overall perfectionism	25	5,615	.27	.17	.32	.17	.10	.54	.25	.39	14.88%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	10	2,407	.08	.04	.09	.00	.09	.09	.05	.13	100.00%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	19	3,980	.36	.16	.42	.16	.21	.63	.34	.50	15.67%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SDρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

Table 10
Meta-Analytic Relationships Between Perfectionism and Job Performance

Variable	<i>k</i>	<i>N</i>	\bar{r}	<i>SDr</i>	$\bar{\rho}$	<i>SDρ</i>	80%		95%		% Var
							CV _{LL}	CV _{UL}	CI _{LL}	CI _{UL}	
Job performance											
Overall perfectionism	10	3,231	.02	.11	.02	.12	-.13	.17	-.06	.10	25.57%
Excellence-seeking perfectionism	9	2,952	.05	.16	.07	.19	-.17	.31	-.06	.19	11.28%
Failure-avoiding perfectionism	8	2,737	-.03	.12	-.04	.12	-.20	.12	-.13	.05	21.26%
Task performance											
Overall perfectionism	7	2,653	.00	.10	.00	.11	-.14	.14	-.09	.09	25.49%
Organizational citizenship behaviors											
Overall perfectionism	3	578	.08	.12	.10	.12	-.05	.25	-.06	.25	34.39%

Note. *k* = number of samples; *N* = total sample size; \bar{r} = sample-size weighted mean correlation; *SDr* = sample-size-weighted observed standard deviation of correlations; $\bar{\rho}$ = corrected mean true-score correlation; *SDρ* = corrected standard deviation of true-score correlation; CV = credibility interval; CI = confidence interval; LL = lower limit; UL = upper limit; %Var = percent of variance accounted for by study artifacts.

samples. These differences are reduced somewhat when only work-based samples are analyzed in the Hill and Curran (2016) study. Further, the job burnout dimensions of emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and reduced personal efficacy exhibited similar patterns of relationships with perfectionism and its dimensions as overall burnout.

Table 9 indicates nearly unanimous support for the expected positive relationships between perfectionism and stress, anxiety, and depression. Overall, perfectionism was found to have fairly sizable, positive, and generalizable true-score correlations with stress ($\rho = .36$), anxiety ($\rho = .35$), and depression ($\rho = .32$). Generalizable relationships with these mental well-being variables were found across the perfectionism dimensions with the exception of excellence-seeking perfectionism and stress, which had a weak relationship ($\rho = .11$). Further, failure-avoiding perfectionism had consistently stronger relationships with these mental well-being correlates (i.e., nonoverlapping CIs) than excellence-seeking perfectionism.

As reported in Table 10, the relationship between perfectionism and job performance was weak and not generalizable ($\rho = .02$).⁴ There was virtually no relationship between perfectionism and task performance ($\rho = .00$) and a weak but inconsistent relationship with organizational citizenship behavior (OCB; $\rho = .10$). Similarly, weak and nongeneralizable relationships between job performance and excellence-seeking ($\rho = .07$), as well as failure-avoiding perfectionism ($\rho = -.04$), were found. Unfortunately, the limited number of samples precluded meta-analyses of the relationships between perfectionism dimensions and task performance and OCB.

A summary of the study findings appear in Table 11. Finally, results of meta-analytic regressions, reported in Table 12, indicate that together both dimensions of perfectionism explain a substantial amount of variance in study correlates and nil or weaker than expected effect sizes (e.g., engagement).

Discussion

Although perfectionism is a recognizable concept in the broader work world, where it is assumed to be “useful,” “appreciated,” and “rewarded” (Basco, 2000, p. 40), its coverage in organizational research has been dispersed. The lack of research focus in organizational psychology in particular is especially striking given the

magnitude of effects found across the analyses in this study (more than a dozen ρ s were found to be at least $|\rho| \geq .35$). Simply put, results of this study highlight the notable impact perfectionism and its dimensions have on employees and organizations. Therefore, it was imperative to not only codify these sizable effects, but also conceptually synthesize existing research into a framework and nomological net by which scholars can further explore the powerful, yet nuanced, influence of perfectionism at work.

Based on our conceptual and empirical review of perfectionism research, the answer to the question “is perfect good?” is that in total, perfectionism is likely not constructive at work. The consequences of high levels of perfectionism, especially failure-avoiding perfectionism, for employees do not appear to be equally counteracted by its advantages. Whereas a few of the correlates indicate that perfectionism may be beneficial for employees and organizations (i.e., motivation, engagement), the equivocality of the perfectionism-performance relationship coupled with the consistent negative relationships between perfectionism and mental well-being indicators provides compelling evidence regarding the net detrimental effect of perfectionism for employees and organizations. Further, the unique and incremental predictive power of excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding perfectionism highlight the importance of considering and measuring both dimensions when attempting to better understand the consequences, or search for other possible benefits, of perfectionism at work. Distinguishing between the two revealed critical insights into perfectionism at work either by clarifying a weak effect for an expected correlate (e.g., engagement) or establishing the extent to which certain dimensions are related to critical work constructs (e.g., emotional stability, stress).

⁴ Anonymous reviewers suggested analysis of potential non-linear effects for the relationship between perfectionism and performance. These analyses, which used the mean level of perfectionism and square of the mean level of perfectionism as independent variables and effect size as the dependent variable, indicated that neither mean perfectionism at the study level ($b = 1.22$; $p > .10$) nor mean perfectionism squared ($b = -.14$; $p > .10$) were significant predictors of the effect size. However, only a limited number of samples ($k = 10$) assessed the relationship between perfectionism and job performance. Thus, these nonsignificant results must be interpreted with caution as they may be underpowered and the result of a Type 2 error (Gonzalez-Mule & Aguinis, in press).

Table 11
Overall Summary of Meta-Analytic Effect Sizes

Variable	Overall perfectionism	Excellence-seeking perfectionism	Failure-avoiding perfectionism
Conscientiousness	.24	.37	-.14
Emotional stability	-.24	-.11	-.42
Agreeableness	.09	.14	-.24
Extraversion	.10	.18	-.09
Openness	.27	.34	-.15
Motivation	.23	.38	.04
Hours worked	.15	—	.14
Workaholism	.49	.16	.56
Engagement	.02	.35	-.19
Burnout	.21	.08	.34
Emotional exhaustion	.26	.15	.39
Cynicism	.17	-.01	.36
Reduced personal efficacy	.08	.00	.15
Stress	.36	.11	.47
Anxiety	.35	.11	.42
Depression	.32	.09	.42
Job performance	.02	.07	-.04
Task performance	.00	—	—
Organizational citizenship behavior	.10	—	—

Note. Values are corrected mean true-score correlations.

Importantly, performance displayed virtually no relationship with perfectionism or its dimensions. Although we cannot draw definitive conclusions as to why, extant theory provides foundation for a few speculations. For instance, perfectionism's negative relationships with well-being may offset its positive relationships with work efforts; these differential effects may result in the near-nil effect size between perfectionism and performance. Alternatively, or simultaneously, perfectionism may drive employees to allocate so many resources to perfecting one task that other job tasks suffer in quality, given that time and energy are not limitless

resources (Bergeron, 2007). In any case, a near-nil relationship is an interesting finding by itself given the widely held assumption that perfectionism is beneficial for one's performance. Practitioners are not alone in making this assumption as even organizational scholars have suggested that striving for flawlessness is a necessity, advocating that "perfection should influence our day-to-day and perhaps even moment-to-moment activities," and that one should "strive for perfection every time" (Baer & Shaw, 2017, p. 1215). This highlights the current lack of understanding of the impact of perfectionism in the workplace. Thus, it is imperative for future research to disentangle if, why, how, and when possible relationships between perfectionism and its dimensions and different types of performance are positive, negative, or perhaps both, simultaneously, through multiple different mediators.

Practically, this study has implications for employees and organizations alike. By becoming knowledgeable about their perfectionistic tendencies, individuals high in perfectionism should try to incorporate practices known to mitigate negative correlates such as anxiety and burnout, perhaps by engaging in and self-setting expectations for recovery activities (Sonnentag & Fritz, 2007). Organizations and managers should also attempt to manage employees that are high on perfectionism using methods that may mitigate perfectionistic tendencies. For instance, managers should not closely monitor employees high in perfectionism (as they are likely to do that on their own), but rather take a more regulatory role to encourage employees to not overinvest in perfecting their work to their own detriment (e.g., anxiety, burnout). Relatedly, managers may be able to reduce the damaging effects of high perfectionism by being clear on expectation levels and communicating tolerance for some mistakes.

There are also limitations of this study that warrant attention in future research. First, our study is unable to address causation. This is not uncommon for meta-analyses that include perfectionism (e.g., Steel, 2007) as extant primary studies utilize cross-sectional

Table 12
Meta-Analytic Regressions Using Perfectionism Dimensions

Variable	Excellence-seeking and failure-avoiding dimensions	
	Multiple <i>R</i>	<i>R</i> ²
Conscientiousness	.466	.217
Emotional stability	.421	.177
Agreeableness	.336	.112
Extraversion	.241	.058
Openness	.442	.195
Motivation	.392	.153
Hours worked	—	—
Workaholism	.561	.314
Engagement	.480	.230
Burnout	.342	.117
Emotional exhaustion	.390	.152
Cynicism	.387	.149
Reduced personal efficacy	.160	.025
Stress	.473	.223
Anxiety	.421	.177
Depression	.424	.179
Job performance	.097	.009

Note. Table entries are adjusted *R/R*² values. *N*s for each regression were the harmonic means of the meta-analytic correlation estimates included in the regression (Viswesvaran & Ones, 1995).

and self-report research designs almost exclusively.⁵ This precludes testing for causality, examining these factors as possible methodological moderators, and ruling out the possibility of inflated effects due to common method bias. Still, these findings represent a critical step in establishing the importance of perfectionism at work. Second, although we found virtually no relationship between performance and perfectionism, we still consider this a fruitful avenue for future research. Because few studies included performance dimensions such as OCB and task performance, researchers should investigate whether dimensions of perfectionism exhibit stronger, more consistent relationships with specific dimensions of performance. Further, as this study was limited by sample size ($k = 10$), research designed to examine possible nonlinear effects between perfectionism and performance, as well as nonlinearity between perfectionism and other variables, might provide a more complete understanding of perfectionism at work. Relatedly, although our meta-analysis cannot determine the optimal existing measure of perfectionism, we do advocate that researchers use a measure(s) that assesses both perfectionism dimensions. Furthermore, we feel developing work-specific perfectionism scales would be a notable contribution that would likely improve predictive validities (e.g., Shaffer & Postlethwaite, 2012).

Third, although the majority of meta-analytic correlations reported in this study were based on a large number of samples, there were several important workplace variables that did not reach the minimum threshold for meta-analysis, such as commitment, work-family conflict, and turnover. Given the differential effect sizes found, future research should investigate possible relationships between perfectionism and other prominent attitudinal and behavioral outcomes (Harrison, Newman, & Roth, 2006) as well as possible moderators. Applying a multilevel lens (Chan, 1998) when examining perfectionism, and its dimensions, may also improve our understanding of perfectionism and individual, group, and organizational outcomes. For instance, congruence between manager and subordinate perfectionism levels, or between team members, may be an important underlying mechanism between perfectionism and outcomes such as performance or social loafing (Matta, Scott, Koopman, & Conlon, 2015). Finally, there is little research that conceptualizes “low” perfectionism.⁶ Although this issue is present in other literatures within organizational psychology (e.g., affect, life satisfaction; Tay, 2015), future research should work to illuminate what it means to be at the low end of the perfectionism continuum.

⁵ As pointed out by an anonymous reviewer, this may also be an alternative explanation for the lack of effect size between perfectionism and job performance.

⁶ Thank you to an anonymous reviewer for bringing this to our attention.

References

References marked with an asterisk (*) indicate studies included in the meta-analysis.

- *Ahmetoglu, G., Harding, X., Akhtar, R., & Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2015). Predictors of creative achievement: Assessing the impact of entrepreneurial potential, perfectionism, and employee engagement. *Creativity Research Journal, 27*, 198–205. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2015.1030293>
- Ayearst, L. E., Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2012). Where is multidimensional perfectionism in *DSM-5*? A question posed to the *DSM-5* personality and personality disorders work group. *Personality Disorders, 3*, 458–469. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0026354>
- Baer, M., & Shaw, J. D. (2017). Falling in love again with what we do: Academic craftsmanship in the management sciences. *Academy of Management Journal, 60*, 1213–1217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/amj.2017.4004>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*, 309–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Bariso, J. (2015). *The right answer to “What is your greatest weakness?” and why it matters*. Retrieved from <http://www.inc.com/justin-bariso/the-right-answer-to-what-is-your-greatest-weakness-and-why-it-matters.html>
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (1991). The Big Five Personality dimensions and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 44*, 1–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1991.tb00688.x>
- Barrick, M. R., Mount, M. K., & Judge, T. A. (2001). Personality and performance at the beginning of the new millennium: What do we know and where do we go next? *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 9*, 9–30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1468-2389.00160>
- *Bartczak, M., & Ogińska-Bulik, N. (2012). Workaholism and mental health among Polish academic workers. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 18*, 3–13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2012.11076910>
- Basco, M. R. (2000). *Never good enough: How to use perfectionism to your advantage without letting it ruin your life*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.
- Beauregard, A. T. (2006). Predicting interference between work and home. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 21*, 244–264. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02683940610659588>
- *Beauregard, A. T. (2012). Perfectionism, self-efficacy and OCB: The moderating role of gender. *Personnel Review, 41*, 590–608. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00483481211249120>
- Beck, A. T., & Steer, R. A. (1993). *Beck Depression Inventory Manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Bergeron, D. M. (2007). The potential paradox of organizational citizenship behavior: Good citizens at what cost? *The Academy of Management Review, 32*, 1078–1095. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2007.26585791>
- Bieling, P. J., Israeli, A. L., & Antony, M. M. (2004). Is perfectionism good, bad, or both? Examining models of the perfectionism construct. *Personality and Individual Differences, 36*, 1373–1385. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(03\)00235-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00235-6)
- *Black, J., & Reynolds, W. M. (2013). Examining the relationship of perfectionism, depression, and optimism: Testing for mediation and moderation. *Personality and Individual Differences, 54*, 426–431. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.10.012>
- *Bousman, L. (2008). The fine line of perfectionism: Is it a strength or a weakness in the workplace? *University of Nebraska at Lincoln, 4*.
- *Burke, R. J. (2001). Workaholism components, job satisfaction, and career progress. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 31*, 2339–2356. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2001.tb00179.x>
- Burns, D. D. (1980). The perfectionist’s script for self-defeat. *Psychology Today, 14*, 34–52.
- *Camadan, F., Kahveci, G., & Yavas, T. (2013). Analysis of the relation between perfectionism and negative automatic thoughts with structural equation model. *Anthropologist, 16*, 273–283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09720073.2013.11891355>
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56*, 267–283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267>

- *Cha, M. (2016). The mediation effect of mattering and self-esteem in the relationship between socially prescribed perfectionism and depression: Based on the social disconnection model. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 88, 148–159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.09.008>
- Chan, D. (1998). Functional relations among constructs in the same content domain at different levels of analysis: A typology of composition models. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 234–246. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.83.2.234>
- *Chang, E. C. (2000). Perfectionism as a predictor of positive and negative psychological outcomes: Examining a mediation model in younger and older adults. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47, 18–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.47.1.18>
- *Chang, Y. (2012). The relationship between maladaptive perfectionism with burnout: Testing mediating effect of emotion-focused coping. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 53, 635–639. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.05.002>
- *Childs, J. H., & Stoeber, J. (2010). Self-oriented, other-oriented, and socially prescribed perfectionism in employees: Relationships with burnout and engagement. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 25, 269–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15555240.2010.518486>
- *Childs, J. H., & Stoeber, J. (2012). Do you want me to be perfect? Two longitudinal studies on socially prescribed perfectionism, stress and burnout in the workplace. *Work & Stress*, 26, 347–364. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2012.737547>
- Choi, D., Oh, I. S., & Colbert, A. E. (2015). Understanding organizational commitment: A meta-analytic examination of the roles of the five-factor model of personality and culture. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100, 1542–1567. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000014>
- *Chung, A. Y., & Dueling (Mitchelson), J. K. (2017, April). *Coping effects on relationship among perfectionism, burnout, and fatigue*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Orlando, FL.
- *Clark, M. A., Lelchok, A. M., & Taylor, M. L. (2010). Beyond the Big Five: How narcissism, perfectionism, and dispositional affect relate to workaholism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48, 786–791. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.01.013>
- Clark, M. A., Michel, J. S., Zhdanova, L., Pui, S. Y., & Baltes, B. B. (2016). All work and no play? A meta-analytic examination of the correlates and outcomes of workaholism. *Journal of Management*, 42, 1836–1873. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0149206314522301>
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 24, 385–396. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2136404>
- Cole, M. S., Walter, F., Bedeian, A. G., & O'Boyle, E. H. (2012). Job burnout and employee engagement a meta-analytic examination of construct proliferation. *Journal of Management*, 38, 1550–1581. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0149206311415252>
- Cooper, H. (2016). *Research synthesis and meta-analysis: A step-by-step approach* (Vol. 2). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Costa, P. T., Jr., McCrae, R. R., & Dye, D. A. (1991). Facet scales for agreeableness and conscientiousness: A revision of the NEO Personality Inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 12, 887–898. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(91\)90177-D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(91)90177-D)
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). *Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) and NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI)*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- *Crane, M. F., Phillips, J. K., & Karin, E. (2015). Trait perfectionism strengthens the negative effects of moral stressors occurring in veterinary practice. *Australian Veterinary Journal*, 93, 354–360. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/avj.12366>
- *Cuttler, C., & Graf, P. (2007). Personality predicts prospective memory task performance: An adult lifespan study. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 48, 215–231. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9450.2007.00570.x>
- *Dahling, J. J., & Thompson, M. N. (2013). Detrimental relations of maximization with academic and career attitudes. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 21, 278–294. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1069072712471322>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York, NY: Plenum Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-2271-7>
- Doty, D. H., & Glick, W. H. (1994). Typologies as a unique form of theory building: Toward improved understanding and modeling. *Academy of Management Review*, 19, 230–251.
- *Dunkley, D. M., Blankstein, K. R., & Berg, J. L. (2012). Perfectionism dimensions and the five-factor model of personality. *European Journal of Personality*, 26, 233–244. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/per.829>
- *Dunkley, D. M., Ma, D., Lee, I. A., Preacher, K. J., & Zuroff, D. C. (2014). Advancing complex explanatory conceptualizations of daily negative and positive affect: Trigger and maintenance coping action patterns. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 61, 93–109. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0034673>
- Dunkley, D. M., Zuroff, D. C., & Blankstein, K. R. (2003). Self-critical perfectionism and daily affect: Dispositional and situational influences on stress and coping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 234–252. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.1.234>
- *Dunn, J. C., Whelton, W. J., & Sharpe, D. (2006). Maladaptive perfectionism, hassles, coping, and psychological distress in university professors. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 53, 511–523. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.53.4.511>
- Egan, S. J., Piek, J. P., Dyck, M. J., & Rees, C. S. (2007). The role of dichotomous thinking and rigidity in perfectionism. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45, 1813–1822. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2007.02.002>
- Elliot, A. J., & Church, M. A. (1997). A hierarchical model of approach and avoidance achievement motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, 218–232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.72.1.218>
- Enns, M. W., & Cox, B. J. (2002). The nature and assessment of perfectionism: A critical analysis. In G. L. Flett & P. L. Hewitt (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 33–62). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10458-002>
- Enns, M. W., Cox, B. J., & Clara, I. (2002). Adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism: Developmental origins and association with depression proneness. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33, 921–935. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(01\)00202-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(01)00202-1)
- Eum, K., & Rice, K. G. (2011). Test anxiety, perfectionism, goal orientation, and academic performance. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal*, 24, 167–178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2010.488723>
- *Fairlie, P. (2012). *Perfectionism in the context of burnout, job satisfaction, and depression* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- *Flaxman, P. E., Ménard, J., Bond, F. W., & Kinman, G. (2012). Academics' experiences of a respite from work: Effects of self-critical perfectionism and perseverative cognition on postrespite well-being. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97, 854–865. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0028055>
- Flett, G. L., Besser, A., Davis, R. A., & Hewitt, P. L. (2003). Dimensions of perfectionism, unconditional self-acceptance, and depression. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 21, 119–138. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1025051431957>
- *Flett, G. L., Besser, A., & Hewitt, P. L. (2005). Perfectionism, ego defense styles, and depression: A comparison of self-reports versus informant ratings. *Journal of Personality*, 73, 1355–1396. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2005.00352.x>
- Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (Eds.). (2002). Perfectionism and maladjustment: An overview of theoretical, definitional, and treatment issues.

- Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 5–31). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10458-001>
- Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (2006). Positive versus negative perfectionism in psychopathology: A comment on Slade and Owens's dual process model. *Behavior Modification, 30*, 472–495. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145445506288026>
- *Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., Blankstein, K. R., & Dynin, C. B. (1994). Dimensions of perfectionism and type A behaviour. *Personality and Individual Differences, 16*, 477–485. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(94\)90073-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)90073-6)
- *Forrest, C. J., King, D. L., & Delfabbro, P. H. (2016). The measurement of maladaptive cognitions underlying problematic video-game playing among adults. *Computers in Human Behavior, 55*, 399–405. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.09.017>
- Frost, R. O., & DiBartolo, P. M. (2002). Perfectionism, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. In G. L. Flett & P. L. Hewitt (Eds.), *Perfectionism: Theory, research, and treatment* (pp. 341–371). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10458-014>
- Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of perfectionism. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 14*, 449–468. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01172967>
- *Gati, I., Gadassi, R., Saka, N., Hadadi, Y., Ansenberg, N., Friedmann, R., & Asulin-Peretz, L. (2011). Emotional and personality-related aspects of career decision-making difficulties: Facets of career indecisiveness. *Journal of Career Assessment, 19*, 3–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1069072710382525>
- Goldberg, L. R., Johnson, J. A., Eber, H. W., Hogan, R., Ashton, M. C., Cloninger, C. R., & Gough, H. G. (2006). The international personality item pool and the future of public-domain personality measures. *Journal of Research in Personality, 40*, 84–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2005.08.007>
- Gonzalez-Mulé, E., & Aguinis, H. (in press). Advancing theory by assessing boundary conditions with meta-regression: A critical review and best-practice recommendations. *Journal of Management*.
- González-Romá, V., Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Lloret, S. (2006). Burnout and work engagement: Independent factors or opposite poles? *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 68*, 165–174.
- Greenspon, T. S. (2000). "Healthy perfectionism" is an oxymoron!: Reflections on the psychology of perfectionism and the sociology of science. *Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 11*, 197–208. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4219/jsgse-2000-631>
- *Guppy, A., & Weatherstone, L. (1997). Coping strategies, dysfunctional attitudes and psychological well-being in white collar public sector employees. *Work & Stress, 11*, 58–67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02678379708256822>
- Harrison, D. A., Newman, D. A., & Roth, P. L. (2006). How important are job attitudes? Meta-analytic comparisons of integrative behavioral outcomes and time sequences. *Academy of Management Journal, 49*, 305–325. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2006.20786077>
- *Hendricks, C. C. (2007). *Relationships among perfectionistic standards and physical and mental health outcomes: Moderating effects of gender and work-family conflict* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT.
- Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1990). Perfectionism and depression: A multidimensional analysis. *Journal of Social Behavior & Personality, 5*, 423.
- Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60*, 456–470. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456>
- Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1993). Dimensions of perfectionism, daily stress, and depression: A test of the specific vulnerability hypothesis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 102*, 58–65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.102.1.58>
- Hewitt, P. L., Flett, G. L., & Mikail, S. F. (2017). *Perfectionism: A relational approach to conceptualization, assessment, and treatment*. New York, NY: Guilford Press Publications.
- Hill, A. P., & Curran, T. (2016). Multidimensional perfectionism and burnout: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 20*, 269–288. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1088868315596286>
- *Hochwarter, W. A., & Byrne, Z. S. (2010). The interactive effects of chronic pain, guilt, and perfectionism on work outcomes. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 40*, 76–100. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2009.00564.x>
- *Howlett, B. A. (1994). An investigation of the relationship between general belief patterns and well-being. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy, 12*, 205–218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02354548>
- *Hrabluik, C. (2010). *The dark side of goal setting: Examining the relationship between perfectionism and maximum versus typical employee performance* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- Hrabluik, C., Latham, G. P., & McCarthy, J. M. (2012). Does goal setting have a dark side? The relationship between perfectionism and maximum versus typical employee performance. *International Public Management Journal, 15*, 5–38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2012.684010>
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412985031>
- International Personality Item Pool. (2001). *A scientific collaboratory for the development of advanced measures of personality traits and other individual differences*. Retrieved from <http://ipip.ori.org/>
- *Kaden, R. (1999). *Therapist burnout: The contributions of role stress, patient behavior stress, and therapist personality* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Seton Hall University, South Orange, NJ.
- *Kanten, P., & Yesiltas, M. (2015). 2nd Global conference on business, economics, management and tourism: The effects of positive and negative perfectionism on work engagement, psychological well-being and emotional exhaustion. *Procedia Economics and Finance, 23*, 1367–1375. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00522-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00522-5)
- Kawamura, K. Y., Hunt, S. L., Frost, R. O., & DiBartolo, P. M. (2001). Perfectionism, anxiety, and depression: Are the relationships independent? *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 25*, 291–301. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1010736529013>
- *Kenny, D. T., Davis, P., & Oates, J. (2004). Music performance anxiety and occupational stress amongst opera chorus artists and their relationship with state and trait anxiety and perfectionism. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 18*, 757–777. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2003.09.004>
- *Kobori, O., Yoshie, M., Kudo, K., & Ohtsuki, T. (2011). Traits and cognitions of perfectionism and their relation with coping style, effort, achievement, and performance anxiety in Japanese musicians. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 25*, 674–679. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2011.03.001>
- Kotov, R., Gamez, W., Schmidt, F., & Watson, D. (2010). Linking "big" personality traits to anxiety, depressive, and substance use disorders: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 136*, 768–821.
- Kristensen, T. S., Borritz, M., Villadsen, E., & Christensen, K. B. (2005). The Copenhagen Burnout Inventory: A new tool for the assessment of burnout. *Work & Stress, 19*, 192–207.
- *Kung, C. S. J., & Chan, C. K. Y. (2014). Differential roles of positive and negative perfectionism in predicting occupational eustress and distress. *Personality and Individual Differences, 58*, 76–81. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2013.10.011>
- *Langan-Fox, J., & Canty, J. M. (2010). Implicit and self-attributed affiliation motive congruence and depression: The moderating role of per-

- fectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 49, 600–605. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.05.026>
- Latham, G. P., & Pinder, C. C. (2005). Work motivation theory and research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56, 485–516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.142105>
- Lepine, J. A., Podsakoff, N. P., & LePine, M. A. (2005). A meta-analytic test of the challenge stressor–hindrance stressor framework: An explanation for inconsistent relationships among stressors and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48, 764–775. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2005.18803921>
- *Li, X., Hou, Z. J., Chi, H. Y., Liu, J., & Hager, M. J. (2014). The mediating role of coping in the relationship between subtypes of perfectionism and job burnout: A test of the 2 × 2 model of perfectionism with employees in China. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 58, 65–70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2013.10.007>
- *Locander, D. A., Weinberg, F. J., Mulki, J. P., & Locander, W. B. (2015). Salesperson lone wolf tendencies: The roles of social comparison and mentoring in a mediated model of performance. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 23, 351–369. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2015.1049680>
- Lovibond, P. F., & Lovibond, S. H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 33, 335–343. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(94\)00075-U](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-U)
- Lucas, R. E., Diener, E., Grob, A., Suh, E. M., & Shao, L. (2000). Cross-cultural evidence for the fundamental features of extraversion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79, 452–468. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.3.452>
- Lundh, L. G. (2004). Perfectionism and acceptance. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 22, 251–265. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JORE.0000047311.12864.27>
- *Lundh, L. G., Johnsson, A., Sundqvist, K., & Olsson, H. (2002). Alexithymia, memory of emotion, emotional awareness, and perfectionism. *Emotion*, 2, 361–379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.2.4.361>
- *Mackinnon, S. P., Sherry, S. B., Antony, M. M., Stewart, S. H., Sherry, D. L., & Hartling, N. (2012). Caught in a bad romance: Perfectionism, conflict, and depression in romantic relationships. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 26, 215–225. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0027402>
- *Mandel, T., Dunkley, D. M., & Moroz, M. (2015). Self-critical perfectionism and depressive and anxious symptoms over 4 years: The mediating role of daily stress reactivity. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62, 703–717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cou0000101>
- Maslach, C. (1998). A multidimensional theory of burnout. In C. L. Cooper (Ed.), *Theories of organizational stress* (pp. 68–85). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Maslach, C. (2003). Job burnout: New directions in research and intervention. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 12, 189–192. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01258>
- Maslach, C., Jackson, S. E., & Leiter, M. P. (1996). *Maslach Burnout Inventory manual* (3rd ed.). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- *Matlon, R. L. (2015). *An examination of the relationships between maladaptive versus adaptive perfectionism, stress, self-efficacy, and burnout in licensed clinical psychologists* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Wright Institute Graduate School of Psychology, Berkeley, California.
- Matta, F. K., Scott, B. A., Koopman, J., & Conlon, D. E. (2015). Does seeing “eye to eye” affect work engagement and organizational citizenship behavior? A role theory perspective on LMX agreement. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58, 1686–1708. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.0106>
- *Mazzetti, G., Schaufeli, W. B., & Guglielmi, D. (2014). Are workaholics born or made? Relations of workaholism with person characteristics and overwork climate. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 21, 227–254. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0035700>
- McCrae, R. R. (1994). Openness to experience: Expanding the boundaries of Factor V. *European Journal of Personality*, 8, 251–272. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/per.2410080404>
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T., Jr. (1997). Personality trait structure as a human universal. *American Psychologist*, 52, 509–516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.52.5.509>
- *Methikalam, B. (2008). *Perfectionism and family expectations in Asian Indians: How these variables relate to acculturation and mental health* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA.
- *Methikalam, B., Wang, K. T., Slaney, R. B., & Yeung, J. G. (2015). Asian values, personal and family perfectionism, and mental health among Asian Indians in the United States. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 6, 223–232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/aap0000023>
- *Mitchelson, J. K. (2009). Seeking the perfect balance: Perfectionism and work–family conflict. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 82, 349–367. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/096317908X314874>
- *Mitchelson, J. K., & Burns, L. R. (1998). Career mothers and perfectionism: Stress at work and at home. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25, 477–485. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(98\)00069-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00069-5)
- *Moate, R. M., Gnilka, P. B., West, E. M., & Bruns, K. L. (2016). Stress and burnout among counselor educators: Differences between adaptive perfectionists, maladaptive perfectionists, and nonperfectionists. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 94, 161–171. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12073>
- *Molnar, D. S., Reker, D. L., Culp, N. A., Sadava, S. W., & DeCourville, N. H. (2006). A mediated model of perfectionism, affect, and physical health. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 40, 482–500. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2005.04.002>
- Moore, W. J. (1984). The relationship between unrealistic self-expectations and burnout among pastors. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 45, 1680A.
- *Mor, S., Day, H. I., Flett, G. L., & Hewitt, P. L. (1995). Perfectionism, control, and components of performance anxiety in professional artists. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 19, 207–225. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02229695>
- Mount, M. K., Barrick, M. R., Scullen, S. M., & Rounds, J. (2005). Higher-order dimensions of the big five personality traits and the big six vocational interest types. *Personnel Psychology*, 58, 447–478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.00468.x>
- *Murphy, A. T. (2005). *Dimensions of perfectionism in dual-role women* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA.
- *Nejad, E. M. H., Besharat, M. A., Haddadi, P., & Abdolmanafi, A. (2011). 2nd World Conference on Psychology, Counselling and Guidance—2011 mediation effects of positive and negative affects on the relationship between perfectionism and physical health. *Procedia: Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 30, 176–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.035>
- *Newhouse, N. K. (2008). *The role of ratee personality in self-boss agreement on interpersonal dimensions of performance* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Ng, T. W. H., & Feldman, D. C. (2008). Long work hours: A social identity perspective on meta-analysis data. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29, 853–880. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/job.536>
- *O’Connor, D. B., O’Connor, R. C., & Marshall, R. (2007). Perfectionism and psychological distress: Evidence of the mediating effects of rumination. *European Journal of Personality*, 21, 429–452. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/per.616>
- Ogus, E. D. (2008). *Burnout among professionals: Work stress, coping and gender*. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Library and Archives Canada.

- Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2000). Academic procrastinators and perfectionistic tendencies among graduate students. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality, 15*, 103–110.
- *Ozibilir, T., Day, A., & Catano, V. M. (2015). Perfectionism at work: An investigation of adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism in the workplace among Canadian and Turkish employees. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 64*, 252–280. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apps.12032>
- *Perez-Prada, E. (1997). *Personality at work* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Saint Louis University, Saint Louis, MO.
- *Powers, T. A., Koestner, R., Zuroff, D. C., Milyavskaya, M., & Gorin, A. A. (2011). The effects of self-criticism and self-oriented perfectionism on goal pursuit. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 37*, 964–975. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0146167211410246>
- *Procopio, C. A., Holm-Denoma, J. M., Gordon, K. H., & Joiner, T. E., Jr. (2006). Two-three-year stability and interrelations of bulimotypic indicators and depressive and anxious symptoms in middle-aged women. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 39*, 312–319. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.20242>
- *Proost, K., Derous, E., Schreurs, B., Hagtvet, K. A., & De Witte, K. (2008). Selection test anxiety: Investigating applicants' self-vs other-referenced anxiety in a real selection setting. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 16*, 14–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2389.2008.00405.x>
- *Purvis, A., Howell, R. T., & Iyer, R. (2011). Exploring the role of personality in the relationship between maximization and well-being. *Personality and Individual Differences, 50*, 370–375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.10.023>
- Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 1*, 385–401. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306>
- Randles, D., Flett, G. L., Nash, K. A., McGregor, I. D., & Hewitt, P. L. (2010). Dimensions of perfectionism, behavioral inhibition, and rumination. *Personality and Individual Differences, 49*, 83–87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.03.002>
- Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 53*, 617–635. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2010.51468988>
- Rizzo, J. R., House, R. J., & Lirtzman, S. I. (1970). Role conflict and ambiguity in complex organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 15*, 150–163.
- Robinson, B. E. (1998). The workaholic family: A clinical perspective. *American Journal of Family Therapy, 26*, 65–75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01926189808251087>
- *Saboonchi, F., & Lundh, L. G. (2003). Perfectionism, anger, somatic health, and positive affect. *Personality and Individual Differences, 35*, 1585–1599. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(02\)00382-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00382-3)
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 25*, 293–315. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/job.248>
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 66*, 701–716.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (2015). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Le, H. (2004). *Software for the Hunter-Schmidt meta-analysis methods*. Ames: Department of Management & Organization, University of Iowa.
- *Schwenke, T. J., Ashby, J. S., & Gnlika, P. B. (2014). Sign language interpreters and burnout: The effects of perfectionism, perceived stress, and coping resources. *Interpreting, 16*, 209–232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1075/intp.16.2.04sch>
- *Scott, J. H., Yap, K., Francis, A. J. P., & Schuster, S. (2014). Perfectionism and its relationship with anticipatory processing in social anxiety. *Australian Journal of Psychology, 66*, 187–196. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ajpy.12045>
- Scott, K. S., Moore, K. S., & Miceli, M. P. (1997). An exploration of the meaning and consequences of workaholism. *Human Relations, 50*, 287–314. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/001872679705000304>
- Shaffer, J. A., & Postlethwaite, B. E. (2012). A matter of context: A meta-analytic investigation of the relative validity of contextualized and noncontextualized personality measures. *Personnel Psychology, 65*, 445–494. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2012.01250.x>
- Shafran, R., Cooper, Z., & Fairburn, C. G. (2002). Clinical perfectionism: A cognitive-behavioural analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 40*, 773–791. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(01\)00059-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(01)00059-6)
- Sharpe, J. P., Martin, N. R., & Roth, K. A. (2011). Optimism and the Big Five factors of personality: Beyond neuroticism and extraversion. *Personality and Individual Differences, 51*, 946–951. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2011.07.033>
- *Sherry, S. B., Gautreau, C. M., Mushquash, A. R., Sherry, D. L., & Allen, S. L. (2014). Self-critical perfectionism confers vulnerability to depression after controlling for neuroticism: A longitudinal study of middle-aged, community-dwelling women. *Personality and Individual Differences, 69*, 1–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.04.035>
- *Sherry, S. B., Hewitt, P. L., Sherry, D. L., Flett, G. L., & Graham, A. R. (2010). Perfectionism dimensions and research productivity in psychology professors: Implications for understanding the (mal)adaptiveness of perfectionism. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue Canadienne Des Sciences Du Comportement, 42*, 273–283.
- *Shoss, M. K., Callison, K., & Witt, L. A. (2015). The effects of other-oriented perfectionism and conscientiousness on helping at work. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 64*, 233–251. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apps.12039>
- Slade, P. D., & Owens, R. G. (1998). A dual process model of perfectionism based on reinforcement theory. *Behavior Modification, 22*, 372–390. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/01454455980223010>
- Slaney, R. B., & Ashby, J. S. (1996). Perfectionists: Study of a criterion group. *Journal of Counseling & Development, 74*, 393–398. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.1996.tb01885.x>
- Slaney, R. B., Rice, K. G., Mobley, M., Trippi, J., & Ashby, J. S. (2001). The revised almost perfect scale. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 34*, 130–145.
- Sonnentag, S., & Fritz, C. (2007). The Recovery Experience Questionnaire: Development and validation of a measure for assessing recuperation and unwinding from work. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 12*, 204–221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.12.3.204>
- Sowislo, J. F., & Orth, U. (2013). Does low self-esteem predict depression and anxiety? A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 139*, 213–240. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0028931>
- Speilberger, C. D. (1983). *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Adults Form Y*. Redwood City, CA: Mind Garden, Inc.
- *Spence, J. T., & Robbins, A. S. (1992). Workaholism: Definition, measurement, and preliminary results. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 58*, 160–178. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5801_15
- Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. *Psychological Bulletin, 133*, 65–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65>
- Stoeber, J. (2017). The psychology of perfectionism: An introduction. In J. Stoeber. (Ed.), *The psychology of perfectionism: Theory, research, applications* (pp. 3–16). London, UK: Routledge.
- *Stoeber, J., Davis, C. R., & Townley, J. (2013). Perfectionism and workaholism in employees: The role of work motivation. *Personality and Individual Differences, 55*, 733–738. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2013.06.001>

- Stoerber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence, challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 10*, 295–319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1004_2
- Stoerber, J., & Rennert, D. (2008). Perfectionism in school teachers: Relations with stress appraisals, coping styles, and burnout. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal, 21*, 37–53. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10615800701742461>
- Stoerber, J., & Stoerber, F. S. (2009). Domains of perfectionism: Prevalence and relationships with perfectionism, gender, age, and satisfaction with life. *Personality and Individual Differences, 46*, 530–535. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.12.006>
- Stumpf, H., & Parker, W. D. (2000). A hierarchical structural analysis of perfectionism and its relation to other personality characteristics. *Personality and Individual Differences, 28*, 837–852. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(99\)00141-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00141-5)
- Swider, B. W., & Zimmerman, R. D. (2010). Born to burnout: A meta-analytic path model of personality, job burnout, and work outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 76*, 487–506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.01.003>
- Taube, A. (2015). *Once and for all: The west Way to answer "What is your biggest Weakness?" Fast Company*. Retrieved from <https://www.fastcompany.com/3042754/once-and-for-all-the-best-way-to-answer-what-is-your-biggest-weakness>
- Tay, L. (2015, May 23). *Continuum specification: Understanding and measuring construct continua*. Invited talk at the Association of Psychological Science, New York, NY.
- Terry-Short, L. A., Owens, R. G., Slade, P. D., & Dewey, M. E. (1995). Positive and negative perfectionism. *Personality and Individual Differences, 18*, 663–668. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(94\)00192-U](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)00192-U)
- Tremblay, M. A., Blanchard, C. M., Taylor, S., Pelletier, L. G., & Villeneuve, M. (2009). Work extrinsic and intrinsic motivation scale: Its value for organizational psychology research. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement, 41*, 213–226. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0015167>
- *Tziner, A., & Tanami, M. (2013). Examining the links between attachment, perfectionism, and job motivation potential with job engagement and workaholism. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones, 29*, 65–74. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5093/tr2013a10>
- *Vartanian, L. R., & Grisham, J. R. (2012). Obsessive–compulsive symptoms and body checking in women and men. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 36*, 367–374. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10608-011-9356-0>
- *Vergauwe, J., Wille, B., Feys, M., De Fruyt, F., & Anseel, F. (2015). Fear of being exposed: The trait-relatedness of the impostor phenomenon and its relevance in the work context. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 30*, 565–581. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10869-014-9382-5>
- Viswesvaran, C., & Ones, D. S. (1995). Theory testing: Combining psychometric meta-analysis and structural equations modeling. *Personnel Psychology, 48*, 865–885. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1995.tb01784.x>
- *Vliegen, N., Luyten, P., Meurs, P., & Cluckers, G. (2006). Adaptive and maladaptive dimensions of relatedness and self-definition: Relationship with postpartum depression and anxiety. *Personality and Individual Differences, 41*, 395–406. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.029>
- *Wirtz, P. H., Ehlert, U., Kottwitz, M. U., La Marca, R., & Semmer, N. K. (2013). Occupational role stress is associated with higher cortisol reactivity to acute stress. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 18*, 121–131. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0031802>
- *Wirtz, P. H., Elsenbruch, S., Emimi, L., Rüdösüli, K., Groessbauer, S., & Ehlert, U. (2007). Perfectionism and the cortisol response to psychosocial stress in men. *Psychosomatic Medicine, 69*, 249–255. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e318042589e>
- *Włodarczyk, D., & Obacz, W. (2013). Perfekcjonizm, Wybrane Cechy Demograficzne I Zawodowe Jako Predyktory Wypalenia Zawodowego U Pielęgniarek Pracujących Na Bloku Operacyjnym [Perfectionism, selected demographic and job characteristics as predictors of burnout in operating suite nurses]. *Medycyna Pracy, 64*, 761–773.
- *Wojdylo, K., Baumann, N., Buczny, J., Owens, G., & Kuhl, J. (2013). Work craving: A conceptualization and measurement. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 35*, 547–568. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2013.840631>
- *Yap, K., Gibbs, A. L., Francis, A. J., & Schuster, S. E. (2016). Testing the bivalent fear of evaluation model of social anxiety: The relationship between fear of positive evaluation, social anxiety, and perfectionism. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 45*, 136–149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2015.1125941>
- *Zwaan, K., ter Bogt, T. F. M., & Raaijmakers, Q. (2009). So you want to be a rock “n” roll star? Career success of pop musicians in the Netherlands. *Poetics, 37*, 250–266. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2009.03.004>

Received October 11, 2016

Revision received April 17, 2018

Accepted April 18, 2018 ■