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**CITIZENSHIP**

Canadian; Permanent Resident of the United States since 2003

**EDUCATION**

 Ph.D., Philosophy, 2011, Georgetown University (with distinction)

 J.D., 2004, Yale Law School

 M.A., Bioethics, 2000, McGill University

B.A., 1997, McGill University, major: Philosophy/English (First Class Honors and Great Distinction)

**HONORS AND FELLOWSHIPS**

09/2017-06/2018 Laurance S. Rockefeller Visiting Faculty Fellow, University Center for Human Values, Princeton University

2007-2008 Charlotte H. Newcomb Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship, Woodrow Wilson Foundation

 (One of three philosophy students nationwide awarded fellowship that year.)

**EMPLOYMENT**

2016 to present James G. Campbell, Jr. Memorial Term Assistant Professor, Department of Legal Studies and Business Ethics, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

2011 to 2016 Assistant Professor, Department of Legal Studies and Business Ethics, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

2009-2011 Lecturer, Department of Legal Studies and Business Ethics, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Spring 2009 Adjunct Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center

2004-2005 Law Clerk, Honorable Louis H. Pollak, U.S. District Court Judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

2003-2004 Research Assistant, Prof. Bruce Ackerman, Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut

2002-2003 Student Intern, ACLU, National Drug Policy Litigation Project, New Haven, Connecticut

2002 French Interpreter, Immigration Clinic, Yale Law School, New Haven, Connecticut

2000-2001 Intern, Center for Clinical Bioethics, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

**PUBLICATIONS**

1. ***Journal Articles***
2. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Faultless Guilt: Toward a Relationship-Based Account of Criminal Liability*, American Criminal Law Review (forthcoming 2017).
3. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Burdening “Substantial Burdens*,” U. Ill. L. Rev. Online, https://illinoislawreview.org/online/2016/substantial-burdens/burdening-substantial-burdens/ (May 27, 2016) (symposium piece).
* Distinguished Proceedings Award, 2016 Academy of Legal Studies in Business Annual Meeting
1. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Conscience and Complicity: Assessing Pleas for Religious Exemptions in* Hobby Lobby*’s Wake*, 82 U. Chicago L. Rev. 1897 (2015)
* Awarded “Best Ethics Paper” at the 2015 Academy of Legal Studies in Business Annual Meeting
1. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Corporate Piety and Impropriety:* Hobby Lobby*’s Extension of RFRA Rights to the For-Profit Corporation*, 5 Harvard Business Law Review 173 (2015).
2. Eric Orts and Amy J. Sepinwall, *Privacy and Organizational Persons*, 99 Minn. L. Rev. 27 (2015).
3. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Crossing the Fault Line in Corporate Criminal Law*, 40 J. Corp. L. 439 (2015).
4. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Corporate Moral Responsibility*, 11 Phil. Compass 3 (2016).
5. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Denying Corporate Rights and Punishing Corporate Wrongs*, 25 Bus. Ethics Q. 517(2015).
6. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Responsible Shares and Shared Responsibility: In Defense of Responsible Corporate Officer Liability*, 2014 Columbia Bus. L. Rev. 371 (2014).
7. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Responsibility, Repair and Redistribution in the Wake of the Financial Crisis*, 11 Georgetown J.L. & Pub. Pol’y 301 (2013) (symposium piece).
8. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Righting Others’ Wrongs:* *A Critical Look at Clawbacks in Madoff-Type Ponzi Schemes and Other Frauds*, 78 Brooklyn L. Rev. 1 (2012).
* Excerpt reprinted in The Corporate Practice Commentator (Robert Thompson ed., Thompson-Reuters publishing (West) 2014).
* Quoted in the Madoff bankruptcy trustee’s Petition for Writ of Certiorari, Picard v. Fishman, No. 14-1129 (2015), at 10; cited in Petitioner’s Supplemental Reply Brief in Response to the Opposition Filed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, Ryan v. Picard, No. 11-969 (2012), at 5.
1. Amy J. Sepinwall, Citizens United *and the Ineluctable Question of Corporate Citizenship*, 44 Conn. L. Rev. 575 (2012).
2. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Guilty by Proxy: Expanding the Boundaries of Responsibility in the Face of Corporate Crime*, 63 Hastings L.J. 411 (2012).
3. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Failures To Punish: Command Responsibility in Domestic and International Law*, 30 Mich. J. Int’l L. 251 (2009).
4. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Responsibility for Historical Injustices: Reconceiving the Case for Reparations*, 22 J.L. & Pol. 183 (2006).
5. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Defense of Others and Defenseless “Others”*, 17 Yale J.L. & Feminism 327 (2005).
6. Marion Danis & Amy J. Sepinwall, *Regulation of the Global Marketplace for the Sake of Health*, 30 J.L. Med. & Ethics 667 (2002).[[1]](#footnote-1)\*
7. ***Book Chapters***
8. Amy J. Sepinwall, *The Challenges of Conscience in a World of Compromise*, Nomos LVII: Compromise(Jack Knight ed., NYU Press, forthcoming 2017).
9. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Conscientious Objection, Complicity and Accommodation*, *in* Law, Religion and Health in the United States (I. Glenn Cohen, Holly Lynch and Elizabeth Sepper eds., Cambridge University Press, forthcoming 2016).
10. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Blame, Emotion and the Corporation*, *in* The Moral Responsibility of Firms (Eric. W. Orts and N. Craig Smith eds., Oxford University Press, forthcoming 2016)*.*
11. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Education by Corporation: The Merits and Perils of For-Profit Higher Education for a Democratic Citizenry, in* Corporations and Citizenship (Greg Urban ed., University of Pennsylvania Press, 2014)*.*
12. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Citizen Responsibility and the Reactive Attitudes: Blaming Americans for War Crimes in Iraq*, *in* Accountability for Collective Wrongdoing (Richard Vernon and Tracy Isaacs eds., Cambridge University Press, 2011).
13. ***Other***
14. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Can a Corporation Have a Conscience?,* Washington Post, March 21, 2014.
15. Amy J. Sepinwall*, The Unemotional Corporation*, Insead Knowledge, March 21, 2014.
16. Amy Sepinwall, *Criminal Enterprises and Culpable Leaders*, On Leadership: Washington Post, Nov. 12, 2013.
17. Amy Sepinwall & Scott Rosner, *Punishing Penn State,* Huffington Post, Jul. 30, 2012.
18. Amy Sepinwall & Scott Rosner, *Penn State and the Blame Game*, Huffington Post, Jan. 7, 2012.
19. Amy J. Sepinwall, *Commentary, The Merits of a General Education in Bioethics*, 2 Am. J. Bioethics 31 (2002).
20. ***Works in Progress***
21. Amy J. Sepinwall, Complicitous Compliance (manuscript in draft form, expected submission March 2017).
22. Amy J. Sepinwall, The Strange Career of Complicity (full précis).

**EARLY-STAGE PROJECTS**

***Taint***:

This project looks at the emerging prominence of claims of complicity – or what I call “taint.” Cries of taint now arise in a vast range of cases far outside criminal law, in virtue of connections far more tenuous than those in the typical criminal law scenario, and with potentially far more extensive consequences for the provision of goods and services through public and private entities. For example, concerns about taint come up in cases involving public accommodations (wedding vendors who oppose gay marriage seek to refuse service to gay couples getting married); employment law (employers seek to refuse on religious grounds to hire transgendered individuals or to subsidize contraception in their employee health plans); labor law (employees seek to object to having to pay union dues because they oppose the union’s political activities); and compelled hosting (universities seek to refuse to allow military officials to recruit on their campuses; shopping mall owners seek to refuse to allow peaceful protests on their property).

The law treats these claims differently. For example, courts have denied claims of taint in the public accommodations or compelled hosting cases. But they have recognized claims of taint in the compelled subsidization cases. Is there a coherent philosophy of attributability that could make sense of these cases? And how ought courts to respond to these differing claims of taint? This project aims to answer these questions.

I envision that the project will yield three papers. The first will trace the notion of taint across the different doctrines where it arises in an effort to establish, and critique, the principle that money matters in the jurisprudence addressing complicity claims. The second paper will develop and defend the notion of *communicable complicity*: Taint need not arise either through money or speech to be cognizable. Instead, there are reasons to view all business activity as communicative, and so as implicating. But there are reasons to view exemptions as communicative too. This tension sets up the third paper, which will seek to articulate the limits on exemptions given the potential expressive harm that an exemption threatens for third parties. All three of these papers will engage with legal doctrine as well as moral and legal scholarship on complicity and attributability.

***Constitutional Commodification***:

Recent Supreme Court jurisprudence has involved the Court in shifting the boundaries of the market, declaring intrinsically valuable goods, like aspects of the environment, to be marketable or, instead, treating genuine commodities as worthy of the protection that non-market constitutional goods, like political speech, receive. In this project, Eric Orts and I refer to this phenomenon as “constitutional commodification,” and we aim to uncover, trace, and critique it.

As we conceive of it, constitutional commodification is a dynamic wherein the Court uses the Constitution alternately to expand or contract the boundaries of the market. More specifically, the Court sometimes *commodifies* non-market goods, by subjecting them to market norms. Allowing citizens to shower their elected representatives with expensive gifts in exchange for enhanced access commodifies the right of citizens to be heard, conditioning the right on the (now) buyer’s wealth. In other instances, the Court *constitutionalizes commodities*, by assimilating them to the kinds of goods that receive constitutional protection, thereby insulating them from regulation. Conceiving of “money as speech” is an instance of this second kind of movement insofar as money – a commodity – comes to receive the same protections as speech itself.

What unifies both sets of movements – and renders constitutional commodification problematic – is that they implicate goods that are *collective* in nature. Collective goods are goods that are by definition held in common. Since the market is a place of transaction for single owners with unilateral dominion over the goods they sell, and since no private person has exclusive dominion over collective goods, collective goods are themselves ill-suited to market disposition. But so too are the goods or services that constitute the collective good, e.g., airtime for a political ad. We argue that if one wants to get a handle on the problems arising from the marketization of, for example, garbage or political speech, one has to recognize the collective goods their marketization implicates – the environment and democracy, respectively.

Current commodification critiques do not contemplate collective goods. One aim of this article is then to extend the existing commodification literature to account for collective goods. The second and more significant aim is to call attention to the Court’s commodifying work, to critique that work, and to propose alternative avenues for regulating activity implicating collective goods.

**INVITED TALKS**

“Guilt by Craft: A Comment on Joseph Singer’s ‘Religious Liberty & Public Accommodations: What Would Hohfeld Say,’” *Centennial Workshop Commemorating the Work of Wesley Newcomb Hohfeld*, Yale Law School, October 15, 2016.

“The Challenges of Conscience in a World of Compromise,” Petrie-Flom Seminar Series, Harvard Law School, Sept. 19, 2016.

“Freedom for Religion and Corporation,” *Fourth Annual International Consortium for Law and Religion Conference*, Oxford University, Sept. 10, 2016.

“Faultless Guilt: Toward a Relationship-Based View of Criminal Liability,” Department of Philosophy, University of Pennsylvania, Nov. 13, 2015.

“Taint: Money and Identity,” Kelley School of Business, University of Indiana, Nov. 6, 2015.

 “Conscience and Complicity: Assessing Pleas for Religious Exemptions After *Hobby Lobby*.” Presented at:

* Columbia Law School, Mar. 25, 2015
* University of Michigan, Ross School of Business, Feb. 13, 2015
* Johns Hopkins University, Berman Institute of Bioethics, Sept. 22, 2014
* Georgetown University, McDonough School of Business, Sept.19, 2014

“Faultless Wrongdoing.” Presented at:

* *New Voices in Criminal Law Theory*, Death Valley, CA, Mar. 14, 2014.
* NYU Criminal Law Theory Workshop*,* Mar. 31, 2014
* *Buffalo Criminal Law Center Conference on Causation and Culpability,* May 2, 2014
* Law and Philosophy Workshop, Georgetown University Law Center, Feb. 2, 2015

“Compromise and Complicity: A Response to Michele Moody-Adams.” Presented at:

* *Nomos Symposium*,American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy, American Philosophical Association (Central Division) meeting, Chicago, IL, Feb. 28, 2014.

“Monsters, Incorporated: Why Corporations Aren’t Persons and Why We Shouldn’t Care Anyway.” Presented at:

* *Wharton-INSEAD Alliance Conference on the Moral Responsibility of Firms*, Fontainebleau, France, Dec. 13, 2013.

 “Shared Responsibility for Corporate Crime.” Presented at:

* *Law & Humanities Junior Scholars Workshop*, co-organized by Columbia Law School, Georgetown University Law School, University of Southern California Center for Law, History & Culture, UCLA School of Law, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., June 3, 2013.

 “Corporate Political Accountability and Business Ethics Education.” Presented at:

* *Corporate Political Accountability Initiative Roundtable*, NYU Stern School of Business, New York, NY, Feb. 15, 2013.

“Citizens United and Corporate Citizenship.” Presented at:

* *Good Business – Ethics and the Corporation*, Business Law Program of University of Maryland Law School and Network 2000, May 23, 2013.
* *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business Law Symposium*, University of Pennsylvania Law School, Philadelphia, PA, Feb. 8, 2013.

“Responsibility, Repair and Redistribution in the Wake of the Financial Crisis.” Presented at:

* *Inaugural Symposium,* Georgetown Institute for the Study of Markets and Ethics, McDonough School of Business, Georgetown University, Nov. 30, 2012.

“Righting Others’ Wrongs: A Critical Look at Clawback Suits in the Wake of Ponzi Schemes.” Presented at:

* FH Wien, University of Applied Sciences for Management and Communications, Vienna, May 19, 2015
* *Huber Hurst Research Seminar in Business Law*, Legal Studies and Ethics, Warrington College of Business Administration, University of Florida, Feb. 4, 2012.

 “Collective Responsibility for Corporate Crime.” Presented at:

* University of North Carolina Law School Faculty Speaker Series, Oct. 14, 2010.

“Shared Responsibility for the Financial Crisis.” Presented at:

* *Accountability for the Financial Crisis*, The Wharton School, Dec. 7, 2009.

“Collective Sanctions and Member Responsibility.” Presented at:

* *Conference on Collective Punishment*, University of Western Ontario, Apr. 18, 2009.

“Failures To Punish: Command Responsibility in Domestic and International Criminal Law.” Presented at:

* University of Southern California Law School Speaker Series, Los Angeles, CA, Jan. 2009.
* Georgetown University Law Center Speaker Series, Washington, D.C., Jan. 2009.

**SELECTED CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS**

“The Strange Career of Complicity,” *Crimfest! 2016*, Cardozo Law School, July 11, 2016.

“Burdening ‘Substantial Burdens.’” Presented at:

* Academy of Legal Studies in Business, San Juan, August 10, 2016.

“Conscience and Complicity: Assessing Pleas for Religious Exemptions After *Hobby Lobby*.” Presented at:

* *Law, Religion, and Health in America*, Petrie-Flom Annual Conference, Harvard Law School, May 9, 2015.

“Corporate Piety and Impropriety.” Presented at:

* *Academy of Legal Studies in Business Annual Meeting*, Seattle, WA, Aug. 8, 2014.
* *Society for Business Ethics Annual Meeting*, Philadelphia, PA, Aug. 2, 2014.

“Monsters, Incorporated: Why Corporations Aren’t Persons and Why We Shouldn’t Care Anyway.” Presented at:

* *Academy of Legal Studies in Business Annual Meeting,* Boston, MA, Aug. 7, 2013.
* *Society for Business Ethics Annual Meeting*, Orlando, Florida, Aug. 11, 2013.

“Bailing and Flailing: Why We Must Pay for the Excesses of the Well-Off and the Needs of the Poor.” Presented at:

* *Association for Legal and Social Philosophy Annual Conference*, Stirling, Scotland, June 24, 2013.

“Bribery: A Victimless and Causeless Crime?” Presented at:

* *2013 Wharton Workshop on Decision-making and Bribery*, Philadelphia, PA, June 3, 2013.

“Responsible Shares and Shared Responsibility: In Defense of Responsible Corporate Officer Liability.” Presented at:

* *Inaugural meeting of the Mid-Atlantic Chapter of the Law and Society Association*, Drexel Law School, Philadelphia, PA, Oct. 20, 2012.

“Responsibility for Bailing Us Out.” Presented at:

* *Annual Meeting of the Mid-Atlantic Chapter of the Academy of Legal Studies* *in Business*, Pierce College, Philadelphia, PA, Mar. 22, 2013.

“Righting Others’ Wrongs: A Critical Look at Clawback Suits in the Wake of Ponzi Schemes.” Presented at:

* *Academy of Legal Studies in Business Annual meeting*, Kansas City, MO, August 13, 2012;
* *Society for Business Ethics Annual Meeting*, Boston, MA, Aug. 6, 2012.

“Commitment and Shared Responsibility,” Presented at:

* *Annual meeting for the Association for Social and Legal Philosophy*, Trinity University, Belfast, UK, June 24, 2012.

“Responsible Corporate Officer Liability,” Presented at:

* NYU Law School Criminal Law Theory Workshop, New York, NY, Apr. 23, 2012.

“*Citizens United* and the Ineluctable Question of Corporate Citizenship,” Presented at:

* *Society for Business Ethics Annual Meeting*, San Antonio, TX, Aug. 11, 2011;
* *Academy for Legal Studies in Business Annual Meeting*, New Orleans, LA, Aug. 9, 2011.

 “Guilty by Proxy: Expanding the Boundaries of Responsibility for Corporate Crime.” Presented at:

* University of Pennsylvania Law School Ad Hoc Speaker Series, Jul. 12, 2011.

“Righting Others’ Wrongs: Restitution in the Bernie Madoff Ponzi Scheme and Transitional Justice.” Presented at:

* *Law and Society Association Annual Meeting*, San Francisco, CA, Jun. 4, 2011.

“Restituting Others’ Injustices: Clawbacks and the Madoff Ponzi Scheme.” Presented at:

* *Department of Legal Studies and Business Ethics Faculty Speaker Series*, The Wharton School, May 3, 2011.

“Collective Responsibility for Corporate Crime.” Presented at:

* *Albany Law School Faculty Development Conference*, Feb. 3, 2011.

**INVITED ROUNDTABLES/CONFERENCES**

* Annual Law and Religion Roundtable, Notre Dame University, June 15-16, 2017
* Analytical Legal Philosophy Conference, University of Michigan, April 20-21, 2017
* Racial Justice and Religious Liberty Convening, Columbia Law School, March 3, 2017
* *New Voices Workshop on the Purpose of the Corporation*,Aspen Institute Business and Society Program and the Cornell Law School Clarke Business Law Institute, New York, NY, Mar. 28, 2014.
* *Aspen Academic-Practitioner Roundtable “Rethinking ‘Shareholder Value’ and The Purpose (s) of the Firm II,”* NYU Stern School of Business, New York, NY, Mar, 1-2, 2012.
* *Corporate Responsibility and Liberty*, Liberty Fund, Indianapolis, IN, Oct. 20-23, 2011.

**Conferences and workshops organized**

2017 Transatlantic Normative Business Ethics Conference, Paris

2017 Wharton-CUNY Conference on Business and Religious Accommodation

* 1. Normative Business Ethics Works-in-Progress Series, Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research, Wharton (roughly 5 meetings per academic year)
1. *Corporate and Constitutional Law Scholars Convene for Hobby Lobby v. Burwell*, Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research, Wharton, Dec. 12, 2014
2. *Citizens United and the Changing Political Role of the Corporation*, Co-organized by the Center for Political Accountability, UCLA Law School and the Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research, Wharton, Oct. 1, 2010.

**Professional Service**

1. ***University Service***

2016-2017 Chair, Senate Committee on Faculty and the Academic Mission, University of Pennsylvania Faculty Senate

2014-2017 Coordinator, LGST Junior Faculty Works-in-Progress Series

2013-2017 Coordinator, Normative Business Ethics Initiatives, Carol and Lawrence Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research, Wharton

2012-2017 Faculty designee, Law Without Walls, Wharton

2012 & 2016 Member, LGST Department Search Committee

2011-2016 Member, Senate Committee on Faculty and the Academic Mission, University of Pennsylvania Faculty Senate

2014-2015 Member, Faculty Committee on Student Evaluations, Wharton

2013-2014 Member, Wharton 2013 Faculty Survey Steering Committee

2012-2013 Member, Wharton Dean’s Advisory Committee

2011-2013 Member, LGST Department Doctoral Executive Committee

2010-2012 Member, Planning and Execution Committee, “Corporations and Citizenship” Speaker Series, Program on Democracy, Citizenship and Constitutionalism, University of Pennsylvania

1. ***Editorial Work***

2016-2017 Reviewer, *Social Theory and Practice*

2016-2017 Reviewer, *Journal of Business Ethics*

2009-2017 Reviewer, *Business Ethics Quarterly*

2010-2016 Reviewer, *American Business Law Journal*

2012 Reviewer, *Criminal Law and Philosophy*

2011 Reviewer, *Law and Philosophy*

**RESEARCH AND TEACHING AREAS:**

* Business Ethics
* Constitutional law, with a focus on corporate constitutional rights
* Corporate responsibility and corporate governance
* Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
* White collar crime
* Criminal Law
* Jurisprudence
* Bioethics and the Law
* International Criminal Law

**SELECTED MEDIA APPEARANCES AND PRESS MENTIONS**

Podcast, *Should a Business Reflect the Values of Its Owner?*, Knowledge@Wharton Sirius Radio, Aug. 9, 2016, *transcript available at* http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/should-a-business-reflect-the-values-of-its-owner/.

Podcast, *Apple v. the FBI: What It Could Mean for Privacy and Security*, Knowledge@Wharton Sirius Radio, Mar. 9, 2016, *transcript available at* http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/apple-vs-the-fbi-what-it-means-for-privacy-and-security/.

Sarah Green, *The Hobby Lobby Decision: How Business Got Here*, Harvard Business Review, Jul. 3, 2014, http://blogs.hbr.org/2014/07/the-hobby-lobby-decision-how-business-got-here/.

Podcast, *Could the Hobby Lobby Case Unleash a “Parade of Horribles”?*, Knowledge@Wharton Sirius Radio, Jul. 2, 2014, *transcript available at* http://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/hobby-lobby-case/.

*The Price of the GM Recalls: Advice for Mary Barra,* Knowledge@Wharton, April. 9, 2014.

*It’s Complicated: When a CEO’s Personal Position Becomes Public*. NPR, April 4, 2014.

*Conscientious Objectors: Local Ethicists Lead the Way to Better Behavior*, Jewish Exponent, Nov. 19, 2013.

*Wal-Mart Women: In a Class by Themselves?*, Knowledge@Wharton, March 28, 2011.

*Citizens ‘Dis-united’: How a Recent Supreme Court Case Sounds the Alarm about the Political Role of Corporations*, Knowledge@Wharton, Oct. 27, 2010.

*Financial Industry Overhaul: Will the New Law Avert Another Crisis?*, 20 C.Q. Researcher 629 (2010).

*A Race to the Bottom: Assigning Responsibility for the Financial Crisis*, Knowledge@Wharton, Jan. 6, 2010.

**RESEARCH AWARDS**

2016 Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on money and expressive implication.

2015 Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on complicity.

2014 Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on corporate conscience.

2014 Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research Award to pursue work on corporate conscience.

2013 Wharton Public Policy Initiative Summer Research Program funding (covered expenses for a full-time R.A. over summer 2013).

2013 Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on constitutional commodification.

2013 Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research award to pursue work on faultless wrongdoing.

2012 Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on corporate moral personality.

2012 LGST Research Fund award to pursue work on responsibility in corporate and international criminal law.

2012 Zicklin Center for Business Ethics Research award to pursue work on responsibility in corporate and international criminal law.

1. Dean’s Research Fund award to pursue work on the Responsible Corporate Officer Doctrine.
2. Legal Studies Summer Research award to pursue work on the Supreme Court’s *Citizens United* case.
3. Zicklin Center research award to pursue work on the Supreme Court’s *Citizens United* case.
1. \* Authors listed in alphabetical order. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)