

# On The Sum Of Symmetric Random Variables

ROBERT CHEN and LARRY A. SHEPP\*

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two symmetric (about zero) random variables with  $U + V$  symmetric about  $C$ ; here  $C$  is a constant. It is easy to see that if  $U$  and  $V$  are mutually independent, or if both  $U$  and  $V$  satisfy the weak law of large numbers, then  $C = 0$ . So, intuitively, we would suspect that  $C = 0$  in general. However, we show that there exist two random variables  $U$  and  $V$  symmetric about 0 with  $U + V$  symmetric about  $C \neq 0$ . The example given is closely related to one given by Alejandro D. De Acosta in another context.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two mutually independent and non-degenerate random variables such that  $X$  is symmetric about  $a$  and  $Y$  is symmetric about  $b$ ; here  $a$  and  $b$  are two constants. Kadane and Duncan (1980) observed that if  $E(|X|^3) < \infty$  and  $E(|Y|^3) < \infty$ , then  $XY$  is symmetric if and only if  $ab = 0$ . They conjectured that the statement would still be true even without the moment condition. Chen and Slud (1981) proved that the statement does hold if  $E(|X|) < \infty$  or  $E(|Y|) < \infty$  and they observed that the *Kadane-Duncan Conjecture* will follow from their main theorem if the following statement holds.

*Statement.* Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two symmetric (about zero) random variables with  $U + V$  symmetric about  $C$ ; here  $C$  is a constant. Then  $C = 0$ .

Intuitively, we would suspect that this statement holds since this statement does hold if  $U$  and  $V$  are mutually independent, or if both  $U$  and  $V$  satisfy the weak law of large numbers. However, this statement is false in general and the following is a counterexample. This counterexample also reveals the difficulty to establish the *Kadane-Duncan Conjecture*.

*Example.* Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two random variables such that the joint characteristic function of  $U$  and  $V$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s, t) &= E(\exp\{isU + itV\}) \\ &= \exp\left\{-\int_0^{2\pi} |s \cos \theta + t \sin \theta| d\theta\right\} \end{aligned}$$

\*Robert Chen is Associate Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124. Larry A. Shepp is a member of the technical staff in the Department of Discrete Mathematics, Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974. This work was done during the first author's visit to Bell Laboratories.

$$\begin{aligned} &-i \int_0^{2\pi} (s \cos \theta + t \sin \theta) \\ &\times (\log |s \cos \theta + t \sin \theta|) g(\theta) d\theta; \end{aligned}$$

here  $g(\theta)$  is a real-valued function of  $\theta$  defined on the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  such that  $|g(\theta)| \leq 2/\pi$  for all  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$  (see Feller 1966, p. 542). Then  $\phi(s, 0) = E(\exp\{isU\})$  is the characteristic function of  $U$ ;  $\phi(0, t) = E(\exp\{itV\})$  is the characteristic function of  $V$ ; and  $\phi(s, s) = E(\exp\{is(U + V)\})$  is the characteristic function of  $U + V$ . Now if  $g$  is so chosen that  $g$  is orthogonal (over the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ ) to the subspace  $\beta$  spanned by the set  $\{\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \cos \theta \log |\cos \theta|, \sin \theta \log |\sin \theta|\}$ , but  $g$  is not orthogonal to  $(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \log |\cos \theta + \sin \theta|$  (it is possible since the function  $(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \log |\cos \theta + \sin \theta|$  is not in the subspace  $\beta$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s, 0) &= E(\exp\{isU\}) = \exp\{-4|s|\}; \\ \phi(0, t) &= E(\exp\{itV\}) = \exp\{-4|t|\}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s, s) &= E(\exp\{is(U + V)\}) = \exp\{-4\sqrt{2}|s|\} \\ &-is \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \log |\cos \theta + \sin \theta| g(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

since  $g$  is not orthogonal to the function

$$(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \log |\cos \theta + \sin \theta|,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \log |\cos \theta + \sin \theta| g(\theta) d\theta = C \neq 0$$

and

$$\phi(s, s) = \exp\{-4\sqrt{2}|s| - isC\}.$$

Therefore,  $U$  is symmetric about 0,  $V$  is symmetric about 0, but  $U + V$  is symmetric about  $C \neq 0$ . In fact, we have just shown that there exist two identically distributed, symmetric (about 0) Cauchy random variables  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U + V$  is a Cauchy random variable and symmetric about  $C \neq 0$ .

[Received July 1982. Revised July 1982.]

## REFERENCES

CHEN, R., and SLUD, E.V. (1981), "On the Product of Symmetric Random Variables," (preprint).  
 FELLER, W. (1966), "An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications" (Vol. 2, 1st Ed.), New York: John Wiley.  
 KADANE, J.B., and DUNCAN, G. (1980), "Advanced Problem #6314," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 87, 676.