## International Statistical Institute (ISI) and Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability

Remarks on the Maximum Correlation Coefficient Author(s): Amir Dembo, Abram Kagan, Lawrence A. Shepp Source: *Bernoulli*, Vol. 7, No. 2 (Apr., 2001), pp. 343-350 Published by: International Statistical Institute (ISI) and Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/3318742</u> Accessed: 23/11/2010 14:45

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=isibs.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



International Statistical Institute (ISI) and Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Bernoulli.

# Remarks on the maximum correlation coefficient

#### AMIR DEMBO<sup>1</sup>, ABRAM KAGAN<sup>2</sup> and LAWRENCE A. SHEPP<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics and Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, STANFORD CA 94305, USA. E-mail: amir@math.stanford.edu <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park MD 20742-4015, USA. E-mail: amk@math.umd.edu <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, 501 Hill Center, Busch Campus, Rutgers University, Piscataway NJ 08855, USA. E-mail: shepp@stat.rutgers.edu

The maximum correlation coefficient between partial sums of independent and identically distributed random variables with finite second moment equals the classical (Pearson) correlation coefficient between the sums, and thus does not depend on the distribution of the random variables. This result is proved, and relations between the linearity of regression of each of two random variables on the other and the maximum correlation coefficient are discussed.

*Keywords:* correlation; linear regression; maximum correlation; spherically symmetric distributions; sums of independent random variables

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be random elements defined on a probability space  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{A}, P)$  taking values in  $(\mathscr{X}_1, \mathscr{B}_1), (\mathscr{X}_2, \mathscr{B}_2)$ , respectively. The map  $X_i: (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{A}) \Rightarrow (\mathscr{X}_i, \mathscr{B}_i)$  generates the subalgebra  $\mathscr{A}_i = X_i^{-1}(\mathscr{B}_i)$  of  $\mathscr{A}, i = 1, 2$ . Denote by  $P_i$  the restriction of the measure P on  $\mathscr{A}_i, i = 1, 2$ . Let  $L^2 = L^2(P)$  be the Hilbert space of  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable functions  $\varphi$  with finite  $E|\varphi|^2 = \int |\varphi(x)|^2 dP$  and inner product  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = E(\varphi_1\varphi_2)$ , and let  $L_i^2 = L^2(P_i)$  be the Hilbert space of  $\mathscr{A}_i$ -measurable functions with finite  $E|\varphi|^2$  and the same inner product. Plainly,  $L_i^2$  is a (closed) subspace of  $L^2, i = 1, 2$ .

The maximum correlation coefficient (or maximum correlation for short) between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , introduced in Gebelein (1941), is

$$R(X_1, X_2) = \sup \rho(\varphi_1(X_1), \varphi_2(X_2)), \tag{1}$$

the supremum being taken over all (non-constant)  $\varphi_1 \in L_1^2$ ,  $\varphi_2 \in L_2^2$ . As usual,  $\rho(\xi, \eta)$  denotes the classical (Pearson) correlation between random variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . The maximum correlation  $R(X_1, X_2)$  vanishes if and only if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent or, equivalently, if and only if the subspaces  $L_1^2$  and  $L_2^2$  are orthogonal. In general,  $R(X_1, X_2)$  is the cosine of the angle between  $L_1^2$  and  $L_2^2$ ,

$$R(X_1, X_2) = \cos(L_1^2, L_2^2).$$

Czáki and Fisher (1963) studied the maximum correlation as a geometric characteristic.

The following observation is due to Rényi (1959). If

$$R(X_1, X_2) = \rho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = R,$$
(2)

say, for some  $\varphi_i$  with  $E(\varphi_i) = 0$ ,  $E(\varphi_i^2) = 1$ , i = 1, 2, then necessarily

$$E(\varphi_1|X_2) = R\varphi_2, \qquad E(\varphi_2|X_1) = R\varphi_1.$$
 (3)

Rényi (1959) also gives sufficient conditions on  $(X_1, X_2)$  for (2) to hold with  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  satisfying (3) for some R > 0.

Based on (3), Breiman and Friedman (1985) suggested an alternating conditional expectations algorithm for finding  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  such that  $\rho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  is maximized. They also showed how the maximizing  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  can be estimated from observations of  $(X_1, X_2)$ . If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a bivariate Gaussian random vector with  $\rho(X_1, X_2) = \rho$ , then it has long been known that

$$R(X_1, X_2) = |\rho|.$$
(4)

There are several proofs of (4); see, for example, Lancaster (1957).

Now let  $Y_1, Y_2 \dots$  be independent and identically distributed (non-degenerate, i.e. with distribution not concentrated at a point) random variables with  $var(Y_i) < \infty$ . Set  $S_k = Y_1 + \dots + Y_k$ . We prove in Section 2 that, for  $m \le n$ ,

$$R(S_m, S_n) = \rho(S_m, S_n) = \sqrt{m/n},$$
(5)

and thus  $R(S_m, S_n)$  does not depend on the distribution of  $Y_i$ . To the best of the authors' knowledge, this result is new. It is a little unexpected given that  $R(S_m, S_n)$  is a very nonlinear characteristic of the sums. The special case of (5) with m = 1, n = 2 was known to Samuel Karlin. His advice on approaching the general case was most apposite.

It is not known if (5) holds when  $var(Y_i) = \infty$ . Our arguments only tell us that it is always true that

$$R(S_m, S_n) \leq \sqrt{m/n}, \qquad m \leq n.$$

The normalized sums

$$\tilde{S}_m = \frac{S_m - E(S_m)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(S_m)}}, \qquad \tilde{S}_n = \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(S_n)}}$$

satisfy condition (3) with  $R = \sqrt{m/n}$ . However, the sufficient conditions in Rényi (1959) for (3) to imply (2) are not satisfied for  $\tilde{S}_m$ ,  $\tilde{S}_n$  constructed from arbitrary  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  with  $\operatorname{var}(Y_i) < \infty$ . Our proof is based on the Efron-Stein (Efron and Stein, 1981) decomposition.

In Section 3, random vectors  $(X_1, X_2)$  with

$$E(X_1|X_2) = aX_2, \qquad E(X_2|X_1) = bX_1$$
 (6)

are considered, for some constants a, b. Condition (6) is easily seen to be necessary for

$$R(X_1, X_2) = |\rho(X_1, X_2)| \tag{7}$$

to hold. Indeed, assuming (without loss of generality) that  $E(X_1) = E(X_2) = 0$ , setting  $\Lambda_i = \{cX_i, c \in \mathbb{R}\}, i = 1, 2$ , and denoting by  $\hat{E}(\cdot|\Lambda)$  the projection operator into the subspace  $\Lambda$ , (6) is equivalent to

$$\hat{E}(X_1|L_2^2) = \hat{E}(X_1|\Lambda_2), \qquad \hat{E}(X_2|L_1^2) = \hat{E}(X_2|\Lambda_1).$$
(8)

If the first relation in (8) does not hold then

$$\cos(\Lambda_1, L_2^2) > \cos(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$$

and a fortiori

$$R(X_1, X_2) = \cos(L_1^2, L_2^2) > \cos(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = |\rho(X_1, X_2)|.$$

Remarks in Sarmanov (1958a; 1958b) can be interpreted as saying that (6) is sufficient for (7) – this is the interpretation of Szekely and Gupta (1998). We show in Section 3 that (6) is only necessary for (7).

### 2. Maximum correlation between sums of independent and identically distributed random variables

Our main tool is an expansion of the analysis of variance type due to Efron and Stein.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$  be independent and identically distributed random variables. For any symmetric function  $h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$  with E(h) = 0,  $E(h^2) < \infty$ , the following expansion holds:

$$h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le k} h_1(Y_{i_1}) + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le k} h_2(Y_{i_1}, Y_{i_2}) + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \le k} h_3(Y_{i_1}, Y_{i_2}, Y_{i_3}) + \ldots + h_k(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k),$$
(9)

where, for all j = 1, ..., l and l = 1, ..., k,

$$\mathbb{E}(h_l(Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_l})|\{Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_l}\}\setminus Y_{i_j})=0.$$
(10)

Proof. See Efron and Stein (1981).

The orthogonality property (10) implies that the (symmetric zero-mean) function

$$h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_l) = \mathbb{E}\{h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k) | Y_1, \ldots, Y_l\}$$

can be decomposed in the form of (9) and with the same functions  $h_1, \ldots, h_l$  as in (9) but with their arguments running over the set  $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_l)$ :

A. Dembo, A. Kagan and L.A. Shepp

$$\hat{h}(Y_1,\ldots,Y_l) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le l} h_1(Y_{i_1}) + \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le l} h_2(Y_{i_1},Y_{i_2}) + \ldots + h_l(X_1,\ldots,X_l).$$
(11)

For j > l,

$$E\{h_{j}(Y_{i_{1}},\ldots,Y_{i_{j}})|Y_{1},\ldots,Y_{l}\}=0$$

since among  $Y_{i_1}, \ldots, Y_{i_j}$  there is at least one random variable different from all  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_l$ . In calculating  $E\{h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)\}^2$  using (9), all the cross product terms vanish, since if r < qthen

$$\mathbb{E}\{h_r(Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_r})h_q(Y_{j_1},\ldots,Y_{j_q})\}=\mathbb{E}\{h_r(Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_r})\mathbb{E}(h_q(Y_{j_1},\ldots,Y_{j_q})|Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_r})\}=0$$

(among  $Y_{j_1}, \ldots, Y_{j_q}$  there is at least one random variable different from all  $Y_{i_1}, \ldots, Y_{i_r}$ ). The same holds for  $E\{h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_l)\}^2$ .

Having made these remarks, we can state the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables,  $S_k = Y_1 + \ldots = Y_k$ . If  $\mathbb{E}\{h(S_k)\}^2 < \infty$  then, for  $l \leq k$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}(h(S_k)|S_l)\}^2 \le (l/k)\mathbb{E}\{h(S_k)\}^2 + (1-l/k)\{\mathbb{E}(h(S_k))\}^2.$$
(12)

**Proof.** Inequality (12) is a special case of the following inequality holding for any symmetric function  $h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$  with  $E(h^2) < \infty$ :

$$E\{E(h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)|Y_1, \ldots, Y_l)\}^2 \le (l/k)E\{h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)\}^2 + (1 - l/k)\{E(h(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k))\}^2$$
(13)

Indeed,  $h(S_k) = h(Y_1 + \ldots + Y_k)$  is symmetric in  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$ . Furthermore, if  $\xi, \eta$  are independent random elements then, for any functions  $g(\xi)$ ,  $h(g(\xi), \eta)$  with  $E|h| < \infty$ ,

$$\mathrm{E}\{h(g(\xi),\eta)|\xi\}=\mathrm{E}\{h(g(\xi),\eta)|g(\xi)\},\$$

whence, for  $l \leq k$ ,

$$E\{h(S_k)|Y_1, \dots, Y_l\} = E\{h(S_l + Y_{l+1} + \dots + Y_k)|Y_1, \dots, Y_l\}$$
$$= E\{h(S_l + Y_{l+1} + \dots + Y_k)|S_l\} = E\{h(S_k)|S_l\}$$

Thus, (12) follows from (13).

In proving (13), one may always assume  $E\{h(X_1, \ldots, X_k)\} = 0$ ; then  $E\{\hat{h}(X_1, \ldots, X_k)\} = 0$ ;  $X_l$  = 0. By virtue of Lemma 1,

$$E\{h(Y_1, ..., Y_k)\}^2 = \binom{k}{1}E(h_1^2) + \binom{k}{2}E(h_2^2) + ... + \binom{k}{k}E(h_k^2)$$
(14)

and

$$E\{\hat{h}(Y_1, ..., Y_l)\}^2 = \binom{l}{1}E(h_1^2) + \binom{l}{2}E(h_2^2) + ... + \binom{l}{l}E(h_l^2).$$
(15)

346

Noting that, for  $1 \le r \le l \le k$ ,

$$(l/k)\binom{k}{r} = \frac{l}{k}\frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-r+1)}{r!} \ge \frac{l(l-1)\dots(l-r+1)}{r!} = \binom{l}{r}$$

whence

$$E\{\hat{h}(Y_1, \dots, Y_l)\}^2 \leq (l/k) \left\{ \binom{k}{l} E(h_1^2) + \dots + \binom{k}{l} E(h_l^2) \right\}$$
$$\leq (l/k) \left\{ \binom{k}{l} E(h_1^2) + \dots + \binom{k}{l} E(h_l^2) + \dots + \binom{k}{l} E(h_l^2) + \binom{k}{l+1} E(h_{l+1}^2) + \dots + \binom{k}{k} E(h_k^2) \right\}$$
$$= (l/k) E\{h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)\}^2,$$

which is exactly (13).

We now state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, ...$  be independent and identically distributed non-degenerate random variables with  $E(Y_i^2) < \infty$ ,  $S_k = Y_1 + ... + Y_k$ . The maximum correlation between  $S_m$  and  $S_n$  equals the (Pearson) correlation, and thus does not depend on the distribution of  $Y_i$ :

$$R(S_m, S_n) = \rho(S_m, S_n) = \sqrt{m/n}, \qquad m \le n.$$
(16)

**Proof.** Take  $\varphi_1(S_m)$ ,  $\varphi_2(S_n)$  such that

$$E\{\varphi_1(S_m)\} = E\{\varphi_2(S_n)\} = 0, \qquad E\{\varphi_1(S_m)\}^2 < \infty, \qquad E\{\varphi_2(S_n)\}^2 < \infty.$$
(17)

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_1(S_m)\varphi_2(S_n)\}=\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_1(S_m)\mathbb{E}(\varphi_2(S_n)|S_m)\},\$$

and, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|E\{\varphi_1(S_m)\varphi_2(S_n)\}|^2 \leq E\{\varphi_1(S_m)\}^2 E\{E(\varphi_2(S_n)|S_m)\}^2 \leq (m/n)E\{\varphi_1(S_m)\}^2 E\{\varphi_2(S_n)\}^2,$$
(18)

the second inequality in (18) being due to (12).

Since (18) holds for any  $\varphi_1(S_m)$ ,  $\varphi_2(S_n)$  subject to (17),

$$R^2(S_m, S_n) \le m/n. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand,

$$\rho(S_m, S_n) = \frac{\mathrm{E}\{(S_m - \mathrm{E}(S_m))(S_n - \mathrm{E}(S_n))\}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{var}(S_m)\mathrm{var}(S_n)}} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}},$$

so that

$$R(S_m, S_n) \ge \sqrt{m/n}.$$
(20)

The last two inequalities imply (16).

The above arguments also prove that, when  $E(Y_i^2) = \infty$ ,  $R(S_m, S_n) \le \sqrt{m/n}$ .

#### 3. Linear regression and maximum correlation

We start with a simple example of non-degenerate random variables  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  with

$$E(X_1|X_2) = E(X_2|X_1) = 0$$

and

 $R(X_1, X_2) > |\rho(X_1, X_2)| = 0.$ 

Let  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$ , W be independent random variables with

$$P(U_i = -1) = P(U_i = 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \qquad 0 < \operatorname{var}(W) < \infty.$$

Set  $X_1 = U_1 W$ ,  $X_2 = U_2 W$ . Since

$$E(X_1|U_2, W) = E(U_1W|U_2, W) = WE(U_1) = 0,$$

then  $E(X_1|X_2) = 0$  and, similarly,  $E(X_2|X_1) = 0$ , whence

$$\rho(X_1, X_2) = 0.$$

However,  $P(X_1^2 = X_2^2) = 1$ , and thus

$$R(X_1, X_2) = 1.$$

This example was constructed in response to a question asked by Sid Browne of Columbia University.

A random vector  $(U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n)$  has spherically symmetric distribution if

$$f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) = \mathbb{E}\{\exp i(t_1U_1 + t_2U_2 + \ldots + t_nU_n)\} = g(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \ldots + t_n^2),$$

for all  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . The analytical and statistical properties of spherically symmetric (and, more generally, elliptically contoured) distributions have been studied by many authors – see Fang *et al.* (1990), Gupta and Varga (1993) and references therein.

Assume that the covariance matrix B of  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$  exists. If

$$X_1 = a_1 U_1 + a_2 U_2 + \ldots + a_n U_n, \qquad X_2 = b_1 U_1 + b_2 U_2 + \ldots + b_n U_n \qquad (21)$$

are linear forms in  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$  with non-random coefficients, then

$$E(X_1|X_2) = \lambda_1 X_2, \qquad E(X_2|X_1) = \lambda_2 X_1$$
 (22)

for some  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  (see Eaton 1986). This means that for *uncorrelated*  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,

 $E(X_1|X_2) = E(X_2|X_1) = 0.$ 

If for all linear forms (21)

$$R(X_1, X_2) = |\rho(X_1, X_2)|,$$

then for all uncorrelated forms  $X_1, X_2$ 

$$R(X_1, X_2) = 0,$$

i.e.,

uncorrelatedness of  $X_1, X_2$  implies their independence. (23)

Vershik (1964) showed that if rank  $B \ge 2$  then (23) is equivalent to the random vector  $(U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n)$  being Gaussian.

Thus, for any non-Gaussian vector  $(U_1, U_2, ..., U_n)$  with spherically symmetric distribution and covariance matrix of rank  $\ge 2$ , there exists a pair of linear forms (21) with (22) such that

$$R(X_1, X_2) > |\rho(X_1, X_2)|.$$

Note in passing that for bivariate vectors  $(U_1, U_2)$  Vershik's result can be slightly modified. According to this modification, if  $(U_1, U_2)$  is an arbitrary non-degenerate random vector (with no moment assumption a priori) such that, for any  $X_1 = a_1U_1 + a_2U_2$ , there exists a non-trivial form  $X_2 = b_1U_1 + b_2U_2$  (i.e., with  $b_1^2 + b_2^2 > 0$ ) independent of  $X_1$ , then  $(U_1, U_2)$  is Gaussian.

To prove this, take a pair of independent forms  $X_1, X_2$ . Plainly they are linearly independent, and thus any linear form in  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  is a linear combination of  $X_1, X_2$ . Now take  $X'_1 = a'_1X_1 + a'_2X_2$  with  $a'_1a'_2 \neq 0$  and find  $X'_2 = b'_1X_1 + b'_2X_2$  independent of  $X'_1$ . Independence of (i)  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and of (ii)  $X'_1$  and  $X'_2$  results in  $b'_1b'_2 \neq 0$ . By virtue of the Bernstein-Kac theorem (a very special case of the Darmois-Skitovich theorem; see, for example, Kagan *et al.*, 1973, Chapter 3),  $X_1$  is Gaussian (as is  $X_2$ ). Since  $X_1$  is arbitrary, the Cramér-Wold principle implies that  $(U_1, U_2)$  is a Gaussian vector.

#### 4. References

- Breiman, L. and Friedman, J. (1985) Estimating optimal transformations for multiple regression and correlation (with discussion). J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 80, 580-619.
- Czáki, P. and Fisher, J. (1963) On the general notion of maximum correlation. Magyar Tudományos Akad. Mat. Kutató Intézetenk Közleményei (Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.), 8, 27-51.
- Eaton, M. (1986) A characterization of spherical distributions. J. Multivariate Anal., 20, 272-276.

Efron, B. and Stein, C. (1981) The jackknife estimate of variance. Ann. Statist., 9, 586-596.

- Fang, K.-T., Kotz, S., Ng, K.-W. (1990) Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Gebelein, H. (1941) Das statistische Problem der Korrelation als Variations- und Eigenwertproblem und sein Zusammenhang mit der Ausgleichsrechnung. Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 21, 364–379.

Gupta, A. and Varga, T. (1993) Elliptically Contoured Models in Statistics. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

- Kagan, A.M., Linnik, Yu.V. and Rao, C.R. (1973) Characterization Problems in Mathematical Statistics. Wiley.
- Lancaster, H.O. (1957) Some properties of the bivariate normal distribution considered in the form of a contingency table. *Biometrika*, **44**, 289–292.
- Rényi, A. (1959) On measures of dependence. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 10, 441-451.
- Sarmanov, O.V. (1958a) Maximum correlation coefficient (symmetric case). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 120, 715-718 (in Russian).
- Sarmanov, O.V. (1958b) Maximum correlation coefficient (non-symmetric case). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 121, 52-55 (in Russian).
- Szekely, G.J. and Gupta, A.K. (1998) On a paper of V.B. Nevzorov. Math. Meth. Statist., 2, 122.
- Vershik, A.M. (1964) Some characteristic properties of Gaussian stochastic processes. Theory Probab. Appl., 9, 353-356 (in Russian).

Received May 2000